1	Name: Russell Rope (Pro Se)
2	Address: #1607 POB 1198, Sacramento, CA 95812
3	Phone: 818-500-5592
4	Defendant in Pro Per,
5	
6	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
7	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
8	
9	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,) CASE NO. BA437791
10	Plaintiff,) NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION
11	vs.) TO SUPPRESS EVIDENCE (CPC §
12	RUSSELL ROPE,) 1538.5)
13	Defendant)
14) Next Hearing: January 15, 2016
15) Department: 33
16) Time: Between 8:30 AM & 4:00 PM
17	
18	1) This is a notice that on January 15, 2016 between 8:30AM and 4:00 PM in the courtroom of
19	Department 33 at Clara Foltz Criminal Justice Center of Los Angeles, Russell Rope will motion
20	for an order to suppress evidence including photographs, audio/video recording, the existence
21	of an arrest warrant, and unwarranted observations and/or information, all which were obtained
22	through illegal searches and seizures in violation of the Fourth Amendment of the United States
23	Constitution and must be suppressed in accordance with California Penal Code Section 1538.5.
24	
25	MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
26	STATEMENT OF FACTS
27	
28	2) The honest suspect/defendant did not commit a crime and is the real victim of fabricated
29	accusations, entrapment, officer misconduct, and unlawful arrest in addition to already being
30	the victim of fraud and conspiracy as reported and neglected many times prior to this incident.
	1 of 5
	Page Number

3) A witness had cleared the suspect/defendant who is a member of the Secretary's of State's
Safe at Home program for victims of crimes, there is no evidence that this crime was
committed, nor was there probable reason to believe a crime had been committed by the
suspect/defendant.

- 4) LAPD illegally entered and searched the suspects closed private parking garage where they seized identifying information taken from license plate and used for and written on the original unlawfully issued arrest warrant.
- 5) LAPD forced entry into the defendant's room after surrender to arrest not search warrant and then conducted an illegal search and seizure.
- 6) Detective Rodriguez lied in statements to suspect/defendant about interview not being recorded and then attempted to coerce suspect into giving up nonexistent information that the detective would use against the suspect/defendant.
- 7) Detective Rodriguez attempted to coerce the suspect/defendant into signing incomplete intake paperwork so detective could fill in false answers after suspect/defendant was locked up.
- 8) Detective(s) would not allow suspect/defendant to share exonerating evidence, which was within reach during the time of the arrest. Detectives said they would get video from the neighbor's security camera, exonerating witness statements they lied about not being in the report and that they would come back to jail for suspect/defendant, but instead they intentionally neglected investigation.
- 9) There is no evidence supporting allegations that a crime was committed. There is no evidence linking the defendant to the alleged crime. The prosecution is trying to load their case with a bunch of fluff. The only legal evidence from the prosecution is the LADOT cell phone video and the recorded interview of the lying LADOT officer, both of which completely support the defense.

2 of 5 Page Number

3 of 5 Page Number

mentioned during the original interview. Additionally, the alleged victim is clearly and provably

lying in their suspected to be rehearsed interview with LAPD. The real crime committed on the

29

30