

Name: Russell Rope
Address: #1607 POB 1198, Sacramento, CA 95812
Phone: 818-500-5592
Defendant,

**THE SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)	CASE NO. ZM029514
Plaintiff,)	MARSDEN MOTION (TO
vs.)	REPLACE INNECTECTIVE
RUSSELL ROPE,)	COUNSEL OR DISMISS CASE)
Defendant)	
)	Next Hearing: February 25, 2016
)	Department: 95
)	Time: 8:30 AM

1) On February 25, 2016 at 8:30AM in the courtroom of Department 95 at Mental Health Courthouse of Los Angeles, Russell Rope will make a Marsden Motion to replace ineffective counsel of Public Defender Patricia Ann Moorhead (Bar #134665) due to malpractice as witnessed by the courtroom of Judge Juarez, court security and Ms. Moorhead's supervisor. The public defender is violating the Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution and must be removed from this case, which should also be dismissed because the defendant is not guilty and has supplemented this motion with clear and convincing evidence in support of both competency and ability to move forward in pro se defense.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES
STATEMENT OF FACTS

2) Defendant does not have mental illness, is a genius with valuable intellectual property that powerful people are trying to control, and Public Defender Moorhead is engaging malpractice.

1 3) Public Defender Moorhead has proven to the court, as pointed out by the defendant, to be
2 ineffective counsel.

3
4 4) Not only did the Public Defender Moorhead not investigate and prepare the case
5 adequately, but Public Defender intentionally neglected the defendant's medical, legal, and
6 educational records including exonerating evidence. (See Exhibit A: Proof of Records)

7
8 5) Public Defender Moorhead failed to raise or vigorously argue appropriate motions not
9 limited to the attempt of a misdirecting motion that would have sent the defendant back and
10 fourth between the two courts, thus wasted more time and money.

11
12 6) Public Defender Moorhead refuses to share court Doctor Chadha's report with the
13 Defendant who has no idea what is allegedly wrong with him as he has no history of mental
14 illness or problems.

15
16 7) Public Defender Moorhead did not object to improper evaluation, but did twist the
17 defendant's words in a comment made to the defendant about the fake evaluation referred to
18 by the court as a "short report." Additionally, during the brief interview, Public Defender
19 Moorhead made a statement in the form of a question about prosecution bringing charges
20 against the Defendant for an alleged crime against a woman.

21
22 8) Public Defender Moorhead tried to steal the defendant's cell phone as witnessed by the
23 court security guards who retrieved the phone when the defendant started asking questions
24 about the surveillance cameras.

25
26 9) Public Defender Moorhead has been ignoring phone calls from the Defendant who has
27 been compliant with this entire process.

28
29 10) Public Defender Moorhead tried to trick the defendant into admitting himself into what
30 is probably a ghetto mental hospital for no reason, but the interviewing Gateways Doctor

1 Lucas said a personal appearance was not currently necessary and that they would be in further
2 communication.

3
4 11) Public Defender Moorhead and Doctor Chadha are acting incompetent and most probably
5 engaging in criminal activity.

6
7 12) The evaluation with Doctor Rupali Chadha MD (License #108221) went well until the
8 Doctor realized there was nothing wrong with the defendant, stopped making eye contact, and
9 with a guilty face looked down and started asking about the case, which they were not supposed
10 to be discussing, and where the Doctor introduced new false evidence and failed at evoking a
11 negative reaction.

12
13 13) Doctor Chadha, also being accused of malpractice, neglected the Defendant's medical
14 records and did not care hear the Defendant's side of the story, which means the Doctor was
15 making a one sided judgement based on the case and not the psychiatry.

16
17 14) Defendant has no idea what Doctor Chadha lied about or what the report could possibly say
18 is wrong.

19
20 15) Defendant is in possession of medical records dating back to birth including from thorough
21 professional evaluations by separate psychiatrists and the only diagnosis was ADHD, which the
22 Defendant grew out of as he matured and became the most organized person on the planet.

23
24 16) Defendant is in possession of a transcript from another time he was unlawfully arrested for a
25 Felony possession of concentrated medical cannabis, in which he is quoted as being intelligent,
26 COMPETENT, and capable of defending himself. (See Exhibit B: Court Transcript)

27
28 17) Defendant not only won that case, but brought it back to court and received an order for the
29 return of the evidence/medication, has succeeded in pro se defense before and there is no reason
30 why he can not do it again as the Defendant was and still is not guilty with evidence to prove it.

1 18) Noteworthy are some new revelations regarding case #BA437791 when compared to the
2 previous pro se felony defense case are that the people who robbed him of his bail money lied
3 when they said he could not get out on his own recognizance for a felony when previous
4 paperwork for Felony OR is attached. (See Exhibit C: Felony OR Contract)

5
6 19) Furthermore, Judge Ray Gerald Jurado (Bar #128426) should have similarly dismissed this
7 case months ago when the Defendant originally shared clear and convincing exonerating
8 evidence.

9
10 20) Judge Jurado fraudulently denied a Marsden Motion to replace the first corrupt Public
11 Defender Myers, then recently slandered the Defendant instead of discussing the Motion to
12 Suppress evidence from illegal searches and Pitches Motion before withholding discovery and
13 ordering the Defendant to this court definitely in effort to gag the proof of serious corruption in
14 the justice system.

15
16 21) Judge Jurado probably should have already been removed from the case through the filing
17 of a complaint with the Judicial Commission. (See Exhibit D: Complaint Against Judge
18 Jurado)

19
20 22) Judge Jurado's specific reasons for sending the Defendant to this court to prove
21 competency were: A) "Unprofessional Conduct" in an email to Deputy District Attorney
22 Destiny Ramsey, which is a slanderous accusation. DDA Ramsey was the person acting
23 unprofessionally by intentionally and repeatably referring to the defendant by the wrong name,
24 so the Defense's finally responding and referring the DDA as "Deputy Dumbass" was
25 justifiable. B) Judge Jurado told the Defendant it was ok to make a counter offer to the
26 ridiculous plea bargain. The counter offer demanded exoneration and humble monetary award/
27 reimbursement for this entirely outrageous situation, but Judge Jurado decided to side with the
28 unlawful and malicious prosecution. C) Judge Jurado called the Defendant's accusations
29 "outrageous," which is true. It is outrageous that the Defendant is being victimized like this
30 and no one is doing anything to help. D) Jurado Jurado finally slandered the Defendant with

1 the word “delusional,” which is absolutely not true with the defense supported by clear and
2 convincing facts and evidence, which were intentionally worse than neglected by Judge
3 Jurado. F) The Defendant was allegedly sent to Mental Health Court to prove competency for
4 pro se representation, not for proving ability to assist in defense with a Public Defender who
5 has a conflict of interest in being both a fraud evaluator and corrupt representation. The
6 Defendant was under the impression he would be representing himself as there was no reason
7 to strip him of that defense without a trial and his testimony to competency, therefore did not
8 start looking for an attorney until after the most recent appearance.

9
10 23) Public Defender Moorhead did not address the very serious concerns about prosecutorial
11 misconduct, nor did Public Defender Moorhead present mitigating circumstances to obtain less
12 severe orders.

13
14 24) Prosecutors, as threatened by the first corrupt Public Defender John E. Myers, were
15 attempting to put forth inadmissible character evidence obtained through illegal search and
16 seizure, and appear to have been intentionally luring the Defendant into another illegal
17 fabrication trap. (4th Amendment)

18
19 25) The Defendant is healthy both physically and mentally, highly intelligent and educated.
20 Attached is a note from a professional natural medicine Doctor William S. Eidelman, MD, who
21 has been seeing defendant regularly for 10 years. (See Exhibit E: Doctor’s Note)

22
23 26) Defendant competently maintains more than a daily blog where case files and exonerating
24 evidence have been published for public review and witness of this injustice. (See RR
25 Productions Blog @ <http://russellrope.com/blog>)

1 **ARGUMENT**

2
3 27) The Sixth Amendment of the United States Constitution right to a fair trial is being violated
4 because the Public Defender is clearly not “assisting” in defense. “In all criminal prosecutions,
5 the accused shall enjoy the right to... and to have the Assistance of Counsel for his defence.”
6 Public Defender Moorhead’s representation fell below an objective standard of reasonableness
7 under prevailing professional norms, and failure to act professionally resulted in prejudice to
8 the defendant, specially in regards to Public Defender Moorhead not reviewing any of the
9 defendant’s records and not making a an attempt to defend the defendant’s competency.
10

11 28) This case is illegal based both an unlawful arrest and malicious prosecution according to a
12 warrant obtained from information gathered from an illegal search and seizure violation of the
13 Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution, plus the accusations are ridiculous with
14 no evidence of a crime and a witness who is quoted in the police report as exonerating the
15 defendant who’s intent was obviously to document and report; therefore, is not guilty by law.
16
17

18 **CONCLUSION**

19
20 29) Public Defender Moorhead must be replaced, and/or competency must be restored, and this
21 case must be dismissed; all in accordance with both state and federal law. FYI, formal
22 complaints have been filed against the corrupt actors named above. (See Exhibit F: Complaints)
23

24 30) Judge Juarez possibly can not be blamed for the corrupt public defender and lying doctor,
25 gained some respect for at least exonerating the bail and releasing the innocent defendant, and if
26 there is not enough information for this motion, or if more unbiased third party private
27 evaluation should be requested, which should not be necessary, please reschedule, which would
28 be a waste of time when this can be dismissed in the same fashion as the honorable Judge Ford
29 and unlike dishonorable Judge Jurado. PLEASE OVERULE PREVIOUS ORDER AND
30 DISMISS ZM029514 (& BA437791 IF POSSIBLE) RIGHT NOW!

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit A) Photographic Proof of Records (Page 8 of 21)

Exhibit B) Court Transcript (Pages 9 & 10 of 21)

Exhibit C) Felony OR Contract (Page 11 of 21)

Exhibit D) Complaint Against Judge Jurado (Page 12 of 21)

Exhibit E) Medical Doctor's Note (Page 13 of 21)

Exhibit F) More Complaints (Pages 14-20 of 21)

EXHIBIT A: PHOTOGRAPHIC PROOF OF NEGLECTED RECORDS



Records Include:

- 1) Medical Records Dating Back To Birth
 - A) Two Psychiatric Evaluations For ADHD
 - B) Genius Level IQ
- 2) Legal Records, Case Files, Complaints, Evidence
- 3) Educational Records, Test Scores, Report Cards, etc.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

NO. 9MB02 [REDACTED]

PAGE NO. 1

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA VS.

CURRENT DATE 08/25/09

DEFENDANT 01: RUSSELL

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY EFFECTING ARREST: LASD - LOST HILLS STATION

BAIL:	APPEARANCE	AMOUNT	DATE	RECEIPT OR	SURETY COMPANY	REGISTER
	DATE	OF BAIL	POSTED	BOND NO.		NUMBER

CASE FILED ON 07/20/09.

COMPLAINT FILED, DECLARED OR SWORN TO CHARGING DEFENDANT WITH HAVING
COMMITTED, ON OR ABOUT 06/12/09 IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, THE FOLLOWING
OFFENSE(S) OF:

COUNT 01: 11357(A) H&S MISD

COUNT 02: 11357(B) H&S MISD

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:

08/12/09 830 AM ARRAIGNMENT DIST MALIBU COURTHOUSE DEPT 003

ON 08/12/09 AT 830 AM IN MALIBU COURTHOUSE DEPT 003

CASE CALLED FOR ARRAIGNMENT

PARTIES: COMR. H. JAY FORD III (JUDGE) CATHIE BANAYO (CLERK)
ANN MARIE CIZIN (REP) EMILY T. CHANG (DA)

DEFENDANT IS PRESENT IN COURT, AND NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL

THE DEFENDANT FILES A FARETTA WAIVER ON THIS DATE IN OPEN COURT.

THE DEFENDANT IS PRESENT AND IS REPRESENTED BY HIMSELF IN
PRO PER.

DEFENDANT ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING RIGHTS ORALLY:

DEFENDANT ARRAIGNED AND ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING RIGHTS AT MASS ADVISEMENT:
SPEEDY PUBLIC TRIAL, TRIAL WITHIN 30/45 DAYS, RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT,
SUBPOENA POWER OF COURT, CONFRONTATION AND CROSS EXAMINATION, JURY TRIAL,
COURT TRIAL, RIGHT TO ATTORNEY, SELF-REPRESENTATION, REASONABLE BAIL,
CITIZENSHIP, EFFECT OF PRIORS, PLEAS AVAILABLE, PROBATION.

DEFENDANT PERSONALLY WAIVES RIGHT TO COUNSEL, APPEARING IN PROPRIA PERSONA.

COURT ADVISES DEFENDANT THAT SELF-REPRESENTATION IS ALMOST ALWAYS AN UNWISE
CHOICE, AND WILL NOT WORK TO HIS ADVANTAGE; FURTHER, THAT HE WILL NOT BE
HELPED OR TREATED WITH SPECIAL LENIENCY BY THE COURT OR THE PROSECUTOR, AND
THAT HE WILL BE HELD TO THE SAME STANDARDS OF CONDUCT AS AN ATTORNEY.

FURTHER, IF HE WISHES TO REPRESENT HIMSELF, HE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO CLAIM
LATER THAT HE MADE A MISTAKE, OR THAT HE RECEIVED INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF
COUNSEL.

COURT FINDS THAT THE DEFENDANT VOLUNTARILY AND INTELLIGENTLY CHOOSES
SELF-REPRESENTATION, AND THAT HE KNOWINGLY, INTELLIGENTLY, UNDERSTANDINGLY,
AND EXPLICITLY WAIVES HIS RIGHT TO COUNSEL, AND DETERMINES THAT DEFENDANT IS
COMPETENT TO REPRESENT HIMSELF.

COUNT (01) : DISPOSITION: DISMISSAL IN FURTH OF JUSTICE PER 1385 PC

COUNT (02) : DISPOSITION: DISMISSAL IN FURTH OF JUSTICE PER 1385 PC

THE PEOPLE'S MOTION TO DISMISS COUNTS 01 AND 02 BECAUSE THE
DEFENDANT HAD A VALID MEDICAL PRESCRIPTION AT THE TIME OF THE
VIOLATION IS GRANTED.

DISPOSITION OF ARREST AND COURT ACTION IS FORWARDED TO THE
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.

EXHIBIT B

CASE NO. 9MB02 [REDACTED]
DEF NO. 01

PAGE NO. 2
DATE PRINTED 08/25/09

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:
OR DISCHARGED

ON 08/18/09 AT 1000 AM :

AT THE DEFENDANT'S REQUEST, THE MATTER IS PLACED ON CALENDAR
ON THE DATE INDICATED BELOW.

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:

08/25/09 830 AM POSSIBLE MODIFI. OF SENTENCE DIST MALIBU COURTHOUSE DEPT
003

ON 08/25/09 AT 830 AM IN MALIBU COURTHOUSE DEPT 003

CASE CALLED FOR POSSIBLE MODIFI. OF SENTENCE
PARTIES: COMR. H. JAY FORD III (JUDGE) CATHIE BANAYO (CLERK)

ANN MARIE CIZIN (REP) SUSAN CHASWORTH (DA)
DEFENDANT IS PRESENT IN COURT, AND NOT REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL
THE PEOPLE WAIVE NOTICE OF THE DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO RETURN HIS
PROPERTY.

THE MOTION IS GRANTED.

THE COURT ORDERS THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT TO RETURN THE
FOLLOWING PROPERTY TO THE DEFENDANT FORTHWITH:

EV ITEM #1 - 1 NARCO ENV. CONT. (3) PLASTIC BOTTLES AND (2)
PLASTIC BAGS CONT. MARIJUANA, LAB #K091686

EV ITEM #2 - 1 NARCO ENV. CONT. SMALL PLASTIC BOTTLE CONT.
BROWN SUBSTANCE RESEMBLING CONCENTRATED CANNABIS, LAB #K091685

NEXT SCHEDULED EVENT:
PROCEEDINGS TERMINATED

08/25/09

I HEREBY CERTIFY THIS TO BE A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ELECTRONIC DOCKET
ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE AS OF THE ABOVE DATE.
JOHN A. CLARKE, EXECUTIVE OFFICER/CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT, COUNTY OF LOS
ANGELES, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BY [Signature] 8/25/09, DEPUTY



FELONY OWN RECOGNIZANCE RELEASE AND AGREEMENT TO APPEAR

NAME (Last, First, Middle) [REDACTED], RUSSELL [REDACTED]	BOOKING # 19442 [REDACTED]
ADDRESS [REDACTED] ROCK ROAD	CITY AGOURA HILLS
CHARGE 11357(A) HS / F	MAIN # 330161 [REDACTED]

I agree to appear, in person, at the below indicated court on the date and time specified on this form and at such other times as the court shall thereafter direct I will obey all other lawful Order of the court. I also agree that if I fail to appear at the time and place agreed or as directed by the court, and I am apprehended outside the State of California, I will and do hereby waive extradition proceedings. I am aware that the court may, at any time, in it's discretion revoke the order for release. I am also aware that a willful failure to appear on the below date or at such times as directed by the court is punishable as a separate felony offense.

ATENCION

Prometo aperecer en persona en la corte indicada abajo y en la fecha y tiempo especificado abajo y en teles otros tiempos como la corte despues ordenes, y obedecere todas las otras ordenes legales de la corte. Tambien prometo que al dejo de aperecer en el tiempo y lugar convenido o ordenado por la corte y soy arrestado fuera del Estado de California, renunciord y aqui renuncio procesos de extradicion. Reconozco que la corte puede en cualquier tiempo a su discrecion revocar la orden de libertad; y falta voluntarla de aparecer en la fecha abajo menclonada o en tal tiempo o tiempos segun ordenado por la corte, es castigado como una ofensa aparte de felonias. Al firmar este forma y sin admitir culpa yo prometo presentarme ente la corte que se indica abajo.

WITHOUT ADMITTING GUILT, I PROMISE TO APPEAR ON

ON THE 12 DAY AUGUST 2009, AT 0830 (AM) PM

X SIGNATURE

COURT MALIBU SUPERIOR COURT DIV 1
 ADDRESS 23525 CIVIC CENTER WAY
 CITY MALIBU, CA 90265

JUDGE/COMMISSIONER LOUISE HALEVY
 (ordering release)

RFLEASE DEPUTY/OFFICER MEHTA 525148

DATE 06-13-2009 STATION LOST HILLS

11 of 21

Page Number

MARSDEN
MOTION
(TO DISMISS)

COMPLAINT ABOUT A CALIFORNIA JUDGE, COURT COMMISSIONER OR REFEREE

*Confidential under California Constitution
Article VI, Section 18, and Commission Rule 102*

For information about the Commission on Judicial Performance and instructions on filling out
and submitting this form, please visit our website at <http://cjp.ca.gov>.

Today's date:

Your name:

Your telephone number:

Your address:

Your attorney's name:

Your attorney's telephone number:

Name of judge:

OR

Name of court commissioner or referee:

(If your complaint involves a court commissioner or referee, you must first submit your complaint to the local
court. If you have done so, please attach copies of your correspondence to and from that court.)

Court:

County:

Name of case and case number:

**Please specify what action or behavior of the judge, court commissioner or referee is the basis of your
complaint. Provide relevant dates and the names of others present.** (Use additional pages if necessary.)

Return to: Commission on Judicial Performance
455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 14400
San Francisco, California 94102

Telephone: (415) 557-1200

Fax: (415) 557-1266

12 of 21

Page Number

6/09



William S. Eidelman, M.D.

1654 N Cahuenga Blvd
Los Angeles CA 90028
(323) 463-3295 / 463-3740 fax

Feb 24, 2016

Patient: Russell Rope

To whom it may concern:

Russell Rope has been under my medical care since August, 2006.

Mr. Rope is intelligent and educated. It is my medical opinion that he does not suffer from any mental illness.

It is my opinion that Mr. Rope is able to represent himself in a court of law.

If you have questions, please contact my office.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

William S Eidelman, M.D.

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA ATTORNEY COMPLAINT FORM

Read instructions before filling in this form.

Please mail to: Office of Chief Trial Counsel / Intake Dept., State Bar of California
845 South Figueroa Street, Los Angeles, California 90017-2515

(1) Your contact information:

Your name: _____

Your address: _____

Your city, state & zip code: _____

Your email address: _____

Your telephone numbers:

Home _____ Work _____ Cell _____

(2) Attorney's contact information: Please provide the name, address and telephone number of the attorney(s) you are complaining about. (NOTE: If you are complaining about more than one attorney, please use a separate form or include on a separate sheet for each attorney the information requested in items #2 through #7.)

Attorney's name: _____

Attorney's address: _____

Attorney's city, state & zip code: _____

Attorney's telephone number: _____

Attorney's California bar license number: _____

(3) Have you or a member of your family complained to the State Bar about this attorney previously?

Yes ☐ No ☐

(4) Did you employ the attorney? Yes ☐ No ☐

If "Yes," give the approximate date you employed the attorney and the amount, if any, paid to the attorney.

Date employed: _____ Amount paid (if any): \$ _____

If "No," what is your connection with the attorney(s)? Explain briefly.

EXHIBIT F

(5) Include with this form (on a separate piece of paper) a statement of what the attorney(s) did or did not do that is the basis of your complaint. Please state the facts as you understand them. Do not include opinions or arguments. If you employed the attorney(s), state what you employed the attorney(s) to do. Sign and date each separate piece of paper. Additional information may be requested. (Attach copies of pertinent documents such as a copy of the fee agreement, cancelled checks or receipts, and relevant correspondence.)

(6) If your complaint is about a lawsuit, answer the following, if known:

a. Name of court (For example, Superior Court and name of the county)

b. Title of the suit (For example, Smith v. Jones)

c. Case number of the suit

d. Approximate date the suit was filed

e. If you are not a party to this suit, what is your connection with it? Explain briefly.

>> See Marsden Motion

(7) Size of law firm complained about:

- ☐ 1 Attorney
- ☐ 2 – 10 Attorneys
- ☐ 11 + Attorneys
- ☐ Government Attorney
- ☐ Unknown

(8) Translation Information:

If you require that the State Bar utilize formal translation services in order to process your complaint, it may delay our communications with you. Is someone available to provide translation assistance for you so that the State Bar may communicate with you in English?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If "no," state the language in which you need formal translation:

Signature Russell Rope Date: _____

15 of 21

Page Number

**MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
CONSUMER COMPLAINT FORM**
Please Print or Type

PERSON REGISTERING THE COMPLAINT

☒ Mr. ☐ Ms.

Name: **Rope** **Russell**
(Last Name) (First Name) (Middle Initial)

Mailing Address: **#1607 POB 1198**
Sacramento **CA** **95812**
(City) (State) (Zip Code)

Phone Number: **(818) 400-5592** **(818) 400-5592** E-mail: **info@russellrope.com**
(Daytime Number) (Evening Number)

☒ Mr. ☐ Ms.

Patient Name: **Rope** **Russell**
(Last Name) (First Name) (Middle Initial)

Patient Date of Birth: **11/25/82** Your Relationship to Patient: **Self**

Signature: _____ Date: _____

NATURE OF COMPLAINT

Please check the box which best describes the nature of your complaint and provide details on the next page.

- ☒ Substandard Care (e.g., Misdiagnosis, Negligent Treatment, Delay in Treatment, etc.)
- ☐ Prescribing Issues (e.g., excessive/under prescribing, Internet) ☐ Unlicensed Provider or Aiding/Abetting unlicensed practice
- ☐ Sexual Misconduct ☐ Physician/Provider Impairment (e.g., Drug, Alcohol, Mental, Physical)
- ☐ Unprofessional Conduct (e.g., Breach of Confidence, Record Alteration, Fraud, Misleading Advertising, Arrest or conviction)
- ☐ Office Practice (e.g., Failure to Provide Medical Records to Patient, Failure to Sign Death Certificate, Patient Abandonment)
- ☐ Other: _____

Notice: The information included on the complaint form is requested per Section 2220 of the Business and Professions Code. Except for the name of the physician, all information requested is voluntary, but failure to provide the requested information may delay or prevent the investigation of your complaint. Provide as much information as possible in connection with the complaint. The information on the complaint form will be used in part to determine whether a violation of State Law has occurred. If a violation is substantiated, the information may be transmitted to other government agencies, including the Attorney General's Office.

COMPLAINT REGISTERED AGAINST

I wish to file a complaint against the individual named below. I understand that the Medical Board does not assist citizens seeking return of their money or other personal remedies. I am, however, submitting this information so that it may be determined whether disciplinary action against this practitioner's license should be considered.

Check one: ☒ Physician (M.D.) ☐ Podiatrist (DPM) ☐ Physician Assistant (PA) ☐ Midwife ☐ Polysomnographer
☐ Registered Dispensing Optician (RDO) ☐ Spectacle Lens Dispenser (SLD) ☐ Contact Lens Dispenser (CLD) ☐ Research Psychoanalyst ☐ Unlicensed Provider

Name: **Chadha** (Last Name) **Rupali** (First Name) (Middle Initial)

Office/Facility Name: License Number (if known): **108221**

Address: **PO Box 2043** (Street) **BEVERLY HILLS** (City) **CA** (State) **90213** (Zip Code)

Phone Number:

Has the patient been examined/treated by another professional for this same condition?

☒ No ☐ Yes If yes, provide name and address on the Authorization for Release of Medical Information.

Reason for Treatment:

Date(s) of Treatment:

DETAILS OF COMPLAINT

(Attach additional sheets if necessary)

- + Doctor Was Supposed To Provide Unbiased Medical Evaluation
- + Neglected Medical Records
- + Turned From Medical Evaluation To Improper Case Questioning
- + Focused On New Fabricated Evidence From Malicious Prosecutors
- + Failed To Generate Negative Response From Temper Trap
- + Neglected The Defense & Exonerating Evidence
- + Cut The Interview Short & Must Have Lied About Something
- + Fraudulently Declared A Genius Incompetent Despite Proof Of Intellectual Superiority
- + This is Intentional Attempted Murder & Conspiracy
- + Read My Blog @ http://russellrope.com/blog/?page_id=212196
- + Terminate Medical License Or I Press Charges & Take Civil Action



MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Central Complaint Unit



AUTHORIZATION FOR RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFORMATION

Patient Name (Last, First, Middle)	Date of Birth
NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO	11/25/82
Medical Record Number (If applicable)	Date of Death (If applicable)
NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO	
Control Number	Social Security Number (Optional)
NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO	

I, the undersigned hereby authorize:

Physician/Facility: **NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO**

Address: **NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO**

City/State/Zip Code: **NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO**

Phone Number(s): **NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO**

Treatment Date(s): **NO RELEASE OF MEDICAL INFO**

to disclose medical records in the course of my diagnosis and treatment to the Medical Board of California, Enforcement Program, a healthcare oversight agency. This disclosure of records authorized herein is required for official use, including investigation and possible administrative and/or criminal proceedings regarding any violations of the laws of the State of California. This authorization shall remain valid for three years from the date of signature. A copy of this authorization shall be as valid as the original. I understand that I have a right to receive a copy of this authorization if requested by me. I understand that I have the right to revoke this authorization by sending written notification to the Medical Board of California at the address below. My written revocation will be effective upon receipt by the Medical Board of California but will not be effective to the extent that such persons have acted in reliance upon this Authorization. I understand that the recipient of my information is not a health plan or health care provider and the released information may no longer be protected by federal privacy regulations.

Patient Signature: _____ Date: _____
 or Legal Representative: _____ Date: _____
 Relationship: _____

NOTE: Failure by a physician, podiatrist or health care provider to provide the requested records within 15 days, or a health care facility in 30 days, of receipt of this request and authorization may constitute a violation of Section 2225.5 of the Medical Practice Act and may result in further action by the Board. This release is compliant with the requirements of HIPAA and Civil Code Section 56.11.

18 of 21

Page Number

CITY OF LOS ANGELES – Bureau of Parking Enforcement and Traffic Control Officer Complaint Form

This form should be used exclusively to report Parking Enforcement Officer misconduct (i.e. unprofessional behavior, inappropriate comments). Complaints regarding the Bureau of Parking Enforcement and Traffic Control policies and procedures should be discussed with the captain of the Parking Enforcement Office in your area. Upon completion of this form, you may either return it in person to the nearest Parking Violations Bureau Office, or mail a copy to **City of Los Angeles, P.O. Box 30247, Los Angeles, CA 90030**.

Name Russell Phone 818-400-5592 ☒ Day ☒ Evening

Address #1607 POB 1198

City, State Zip Sacramento, CA 95812 Language Spoken English

Date of occurrence 05-30-2015 Time of occurrence 2:16pm

Location Hollywood Hills, CA 90068

Name or Badge number of Parking Enforcement Officer(s) involved Veronica Dominguez

Also complaining about DOT officers from previous complaints. Please look them up by LP # 5HYD491

Names, addresses and telephone numbers of witnesses Judith Mendez 917-587-4203

Details – (Please state your complaint, including names, times, locations, witnesses and any other information that would help in investigating your complaint. If Parking Enforcement Officer name(s) are unknown, explain what each Officer looked like)

Officer Dominguez was camping on the street outside of my bedroom window, blocking my private parking garage, and violating more than every possible parking rule in order to lure me into a trap so she could make a false police report and have me arrested in retaliation for recent motioning at federal court to open a case where I have reported more than unlawful misconduct by law enforcement. Officer Dominguez was parked facing the wrong direction, more than 18 inches from the curb, in a no parking and video zone with signs posted. She had no legitimate reason to be on my hidden street up in the hills, was not parked in proximity to the alleged joke of a reason she claimed to be there, and she can be connected to previous LA DOT etc. complaints and federal lawsuits based on more than her license plate. Please investigate how she got assigned to a car with the 222 plate number and why she was dispatched to my neighborhood and who ordered it. Please contact me with that information.

Signature _____

Date 09-03-2015

Note: The investigation of a Parking Enforcement Officer complaint is a separate process from contesting a citation. Please be sure to follow the procedures for contesting a citation that are described on the back of your citation.

=====DEPARTMENT USE ONLY=====

To be completed by the person receiving the form:

Name: _____ TO# _____

Date and time received _____ Division _____

LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYEE MISCONDUCT

This form should be used exclusively to report employee misconduct. Complaints regarding Los Angeles Police Department policies and procedures, or police response time to a location, should be discussed with the watch commander at your local police station. Upon completion of this form, you may either return it in person to the nearest police station, or mail the top copy to LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT, Internal Affairs Group, P.O. Box 30158, Los Angeles, CA 90099-4896. A preaddressed business reply envelope has been provided for your convenience. Keep the second copy for your records.

Name Russell Phone 818-400-5592 ☒ Day ☒ Evening

Address #1607 POB 1198, Sacramento, CA 95812 Language Spoken English

Date of Occurrence 05-30-2015 & 06-11-2015 Time of Occurrence 2:16pm & 8:00am

Location of Occurrence Hollywood Hills, CA 90068

Names, Badge Numbers or Serial Numbers of Employees Involved (If known). <u>Scheider #38866</u> <u>Martin # 33342</u> <u>Rodriguez # 34014?</u>	Names, addresses, and telephone numbers of witnesses present at the time of occurrence (If known). <u>Judith Mendez 917-587-4203</u> <u>Garad Copstad 312-543-2625</u> <u>Case # BA437791 :: EXONERATE ME!!!!</u>
--	--

(LIST ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEES AND/OR WITNESSES UNDER THE "DETAILS" SECTION.)

Details - (Please state your complaint, including names, times, locations, witnesses, and any other information that would help in investigating your complaint. If employee names are unknown, explain what each employee looked like.)

Violations Include Misconduct, Fraud, Unlawful Arrest, False Imprisonment, Malicious Prosecution, & Illegal Search & Seizure

- + Warrant issued based on completely false statements made by entrapping DOT officer in police report
- + Report full of lies and discrepancies worded to make defendant look guilty despite witness and no evidence of or motive for crime
- + Arrest warrant pursued by suspect DDA planned with malicious intent and for long weekend or longer in jail and bail
- + First response team from LAPD illegally entered home and garage, which is how they got defendant's information (license plate)
- + Defendant called multiple times to give statement and share evidence before warrant; no answer and no option for voicemail
- + Name(s) spelled wrong on warrant and defendant said that is not me. Defendant is legally not either name on the warrant.
- + Defendant did not give permission to enter bedroom, tried to step outside after announcing surrender, cops forced way into room
- + Defendant offered up exonerating evidence, which was within reach and refused
- + Two witnesses saw the police taking illegal flash photos when the warrant was for arrest not search
- + Defendant had to use the restroom, 3 officers tried to look at defendant's private and he could not go, so they video him in jail
- + Detective pretended not to know anything about defendant then asked personal questions fishing for confession
- + Detective denied existence of statements made by witnesses, refused to share accusations; witness statements appear in police report
- + Detective tried to get defendant to sign paperwork without marking defendant's answers for questions asked in interview
- + Detective said he would get statements/evidence to exonerate and left defendant in jail for two work days without communication
- + Defendant is a member of the Safe at Home program for reasons not limited to real threats, stalking, harassment, assault, etc.
- + This incident is being used to publicize confidential name and address most probably in conspiracy with case # LA-CV14-04900
- + Defendant is really the victim and plaintiff in pro per because of obstruction of justice in federal R.I.C.O. case # LA-CV14-04900

Date 09-03-2015 Signature _____

DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

To be completed by the supervisor receiving this form.

Supervisor's name _____ Serial Number _____

Date and time received _____ Division _____

Final disposition _____ 20 of 21
(i.e. forwarded to IAG; 01.28.00 initiated; sent correspondence to complainant, etc.)

(Attach additional sheets, if needed.)

CF NO. _____

DIV. NO. _____

1 **PROOF OF SERVICE**

2
3 **CASE NAME:** The People vs. Russell Rope **CASE NO.:** ZM029514 / BA437791

4 **COURT:** Superior Court of Los Angeles

5
6 I, the undersigned, certify that I work and live in the City and County of Los Angeles,
7 California; that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. On
8 February 24, 2016, I filed a written MARSDEN MOTION (to dismiss) by delivering true
9 copies thereof by the following means :

10
11 ☐ By Hand Delivery - I handed a copy of this to Patricia Ann Moorhead in the courtroom
12 and in the presence of the judge

13
14 ☐ By Hand Delivery - I handed a copy of this to the clerk in the courtroom of Dept. 95.

15
16 Addressee(s): **Patricia Ann Moorhead**, Office of Public Defender, 210 West Temple Street,
17 Suite #19-513, Los Angeles, CA 90012

18
19 I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of California and the United
20 States the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on February 24, 2016, at Los Angeles, CA.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30