

#20-5236

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Russell Rope,

Petitioner,

vs.

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc.,
JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

Respondents,

Emergency Application for Extension
The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit &
The United States District Court for the Central District of California
#18-55782 & #2:17-cv-04921 + SCOTUS #19-5616

IN RE RUSSELL ROPE. EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION

Attention Justice Kagan

@

Russell Rope

#1607 POB 1198
Sacramento, CA 95812
(310) 663-7655

Petitioner In Pro Per

This is an “**EMERGENCY**” Application for a time extension to file the corresponding Petition for Rehearing of Petition for Extraordinary Writ. Everything Petitioner has filed in any court ever has not only been on time, but also as prompt as possible; including the seemingly perfect Petition for Rehearing in question, which was filed and served early; on October 17th, 2020.

Authority for this Application arises from SCOTUS Rule 30(2)(3). Computation & Extension of Time; "...in the most extraordinary circumstances." ... "An application to extend the time to file a petition" ... "for rehearing of any judgment or decision of the Court on the merits shall be made to an individual Justice and presented and served on all other parties as provided..."

This is certainly the most extraordinary of circumstances and not because it is regarding the honestly extraordinary Petition. Serious conspiracy and justice obstructing fraud surrounding not limited to the Petition for Rehearing, additional five Motions, and two Applications on top of this and everything else are reality. Correspondence from The Court almost immediately, which is uncharacteristic, followed Petitioner's being criminally forced out of more housing. Then a separate but affiliated entity, without notification to Petitioner, ceased delivering and allegedly started returning mail to sender, which appears to be a lie because the California Secretary of State Safe at Home Program that forwards Petitioner's mail has yet to receive any returned mail. Petitioner's

mail including most recent communication from SCOTUS is not being delivered by fraud. The reason given for sending back the Petition etc. was a missing Affidavit and Certification of Party Unrepresented by Counsel, which was certainly included and confirmed by double checking not to make that mistake again, which earlier on in the case might have been the only legitimate reason anything had been returned.

You can see the exact unaltered file that Petitioner printed everything from live on his blog. That document along with the necessary statements, copied and updated from previous filing, so Petitioner know it works, can be found on page 24 of the .pdf packet directly accessible @ <https://russellrope.com/RehearingExtraordinary.pdf>

Petitioner did not acquire details about what happened until yesterday because numerous polite phone calls and emails over the past month have been neglected and life has been extremely rough. It is additionally shady that several SCOTUS voicemail boxes were and probably still are full. Petitioner had to dig for random SCOTUS phone numbers before finally connecting with someone to get the analyst to return communication.

Probably not coincidentally, the name hack Deputy US Attorney handling an alleged seizure of property, which was introduced to this case in the Petition for Rehearing along with other real estate fraud that Petitioner is working on pleading in detail, filed for

Voluntary Dismissal with Prejudice on the same day that would have been the last mandatory correspondence from The Court if not for Rule 30 and this most extraordinary situation. That attorney not returning communications and the surrender are otherwise suspect. This should make sense considering information in the Petition. Petitioner has much more information to share and will gladly answer any questions, but the point here is to get an extension. Please grant everything not limited to this document for filing the Petition for Rehearing of Petition for Extraordinary Writ, and join Petitioner on the rise to justice.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ RUSSELL ROPE 12/01/2020
Petitioner In Pro Per

#20-5236

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Russell Rope,

Petitioner,

vs.

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc.,
JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

Respondents,

Proof of Service
Emergency Application for Extension
The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit &
The United States District Court for the Central District of California
#18-55782 & #2:17-cv-04921 + SCOTUS #19-5616

PROOF OF SERVICE OF EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION

I, Russell Rope, declare that on the date of December 1, 2020, as normally required by Supreme Court, that I have served the enclosed EMERGENCY APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION on each party to the above proceeding (including judges), specifically on their counsel by both electronically filing said documents in the Ninth Circuit and emailing where they have previously agreed to electronic service. Furthermore, Petitioner is exempt from traditional methods of serving Respondents for the following reason:

SCOTUS Rule 29.3:

“...unless the party filing the document is proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*...”

Plaintiff is both *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*. The rule is not clear as what exactly to do

in this extraordinary situation, but other SCOTUS instructions and rules give reason for Petitioner to believe The Court can and will provide service if unbelievably necessary.

Names & Addresses of Served Attorneys & Judges as Follows:

- Alphabet Inc. & Twitter, Inc. Attorneys:
 - Bali, Sunita @ sbali@perkinscoie.com
 - Snell, James G. @ jsnell@perkinscoie.com
- Apple, Inc. Attorneys:
 - Erickson, Ryan Bodine @ rerickson@lewislewellyn.com
 - Furman, Rebecca @ bfurman@lewislewellyn.com
- Facebook, Inc. Attorneys:
 - Malhotra, Paven @ pmalhotra@keker.com
 - Mehta, Neha @ ymehta@lewislewellyn.com
- JPMorgan Chase & Co. Attorneys:
 - Watson, Brett D. @ bwatson@ldattorneys.com & bwatson@cozen.com
- District Court Judges:
 - Michael W. Fitzgerald @ MWF_Chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov
 - Paul. L. Abrams @ pla_chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov
- Circuit Court Judges
 - Edward Leavy, Jay Bybee, Andrew Hurwitz
 - Via CM/ECF @ ca9.uscourts.gov/cmecf

I declare under penalty of perjury, that to the best of my knowledge, all of the aforementioned is true and correct.

/s/ RUSSELL ROPE 12/01/2020
Petitioner In Pro Per
(310) 663-7655