

1 Russell Rope  
ID 1607 POB 1198  
2 Sacramento, CA 95812  
[justice@russellrope.com](mailto:justice@russellrope.com)  
3 +1 (310) 663-7655  
4 *Petitioner In Pro Per*

5  
6  
7  
8 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
9 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

10  
11 THE PEOPLE,  
12 Respondent,  
13 [not] vs.  
14 RUSSELL ROPE,  
15 Petitioner.

Case #BA437791  
**NOTICE & PETITION  
FOR RESTORATION OF FIREARM  
RIGHTS, RETURN OF PROPERTY,  
& JUDICIAL DETERMINATION  
OF FACTUAL INNOCENCE;  
WITH MEMORANDUM**

16 *® All Rights Reserved; © Copyright 2023*

17 **I. DECLARATION & INTRODUCTION**

18 I, Russell Rope, Petitioner *in pro per*, declare under possible penalty of perjury that not  
19 only am I both factually innocent and have always been most competent to stand trial  
20 *pro se* in any court, but also that all the following is undeniable truth, which can be  
21 further, or already has been, elaborated upon in greater detail supported by forensic  
22 science being clear and convincing evidence.

23  
24 With all due respect for good law enforcement, this is both notice of and an urgent like  
25 *ex parte* petition for restoration of firearm rights and return of property, which meets  
26 mandatory requirements regardless of additional requests for: judicial determination of  
27 factual innocence, to correct, seal and destroy records of false arrest(s); mostly for  
28 integrity, reinstatement of the unfairly suspended constitutional right to possess

1 firearms, which demands return of property; specifically, the small pistol, two  
2 magazines, ammunition, and lockbox illegally seized by a corrupt not limited to “deputy  
3 gang” from Lost Hills Sheriff’s Station, which had motive and coincidentally or not was  
4 at the time following chains of fools ultimately commanded by the found to be corrupt  
5 now imprisoned former Los Angeles County Sheriff; point being that it is reasonable for  
6 an honorable judge to grant favorable orders with the assumption that allegations of  
7 corruption are probable if not absolutely accurate.

8  
9 Termination of this prohibition, which is now based on a follow up false arrest detailed  
10 below, has been intentionally and criminally delayed corresponding to and by patterns  
11 of racketeering activity including suspects within the Department of Justice (“DOJ”)  
12 Bureau of Firearms (“BOF”) who missed their own deadline and most probably due to  
13 the incompetent opposition stall tactics, which were followed up with misdirection and  
14 concealment not limited to recent probable intent for another Sheriff entrapment with  
15 motive being obstruction of action against corruption and obstruction. Defendants of  
16 Petitioner’s federal Racketeer Influenced & Corrupt Organization Act (“RICO”) claim  
17 have been relentlessly attacking and intentionally draining time and drawing attention  
18 away from this to vital priorities such as housing, healthcare, and fixing too many  
19 business website hacks while they are worse than colluding with DOJ puppets to  
20 obstruct justice where opposition was first alleged by Petitioner, in numerous  
21 complaints and reports, to be criminally violating state and federal laws.

22  
23 According to the factual innocence law, this petition should be expedited on its own  
24 merit, but reason for *ex parte* prioritization is also based on legal authorities mentioned  
25 below, a time limit regarding illegally seized property to be returned before it is  
26 scheduled to be destroyed on 9/28/2023, and threats on Petitioner’s life. The required  
27 memorandum is included in this document along with additionally relevant requests for  
28 relief. Property sought to be returned would only be possessed for security with

1 demonstrated preference to utilize the justice system. This action is also based on  
2 principles of fairness and supreme ethics.

## 3 4 **II. PARTIES**

5 Original parties, or at least the fake victim from case #BA437791, are irrelevant to this  
6 action because the DOJ BOF is requiring an order for eligibility based on the conviction  
7 from that expunged case for purpose of restoring rights and returning property priorly  
8 taken during illegal search and seizure followed by unfair and long expired  
9 relinquishment order from the completely separate case #ZM025125. Previous judges  
10 should also be no less than recused from this personal matter as they are all indirectly  
11 pleaded against and identified under seal as justice obstructing Defendant Does to said  
12 RICO claim; therefore, there is definitive conflict of interest.

13  
14 No other human will be affected by granting this individual legal action unless they  
15 physically attempt to take Petitioner's life, or that of another, and he is both reasonably  
16 forced and able to defend, which is the honorable right of any law-abiding citizen.  
17 Petitioner truly has much bigger fish to fry in federal court than to jeopardize his  
18 inevitable payday by abusing restored rights to commit a crime; let alone on the dislikes  
19 of bottom-feeding on easily accessible, possibly coerced, daily puppet string pulled  
20 camera stalkers and trappers. Moreover, justice with peace and freedom can only be  
21 attained through civility. In this action, Petitioner is humbly requesting his equal  
22 rights, return of his private property, and to set his personal record straight.

### 23 24 **A. Petitioner; Not Defendant**

25 Russell Rope is a licensed business, man, for the past decade living in the heart of  
26 Hollywood, California. Born and raised minutes away within Los Angeles County,  
27 Russell is highly educated, disciplined, righteously competitive, and comes from an  
28 upper-middle class, local-native family mostly comprised of attorneys and doctors.

1 Growing up with evolution and mastery of cutting-edge technology based upon a  
2 foundation of access to the original personal computers and evolution of the internet, he  
3 became a serial entrepreneur with innovative and pioneering ventures in the  
4 convergence of where he has been on the same self-guided and award-winning track  
5 since childhood. Priceless intellectual property developed over decades is money is the  
6 main motive for greedy and envious opposition. Petitioner has, and at the time just  
7 before false arrests had, received and reported numerous unjustified death threats on  
8 his person, reports of which, along with all others through present, have been criminally  
9 obstructed by the racket thereby giving rise to reason for securing independent  
10 protection being a reasonable, small and concealable pistol.

11  
12 The reality of the situation is that Petitioner has been “falsely arrested” several times,  
13 but is not currently defending against any legal action, nor has he ever “lawfully” been  
14 accused of, let alone charged with anything more than an infraction. Initially the  
15 plaintiff *in pro per* in a 2014-present federal RICO claim, which has been refiled,  
16 appealed, obstructed, frozen in SCOTUS pending new petition in queue, etc. where  
17 actual defendants have no legitimate defense, Petitioner has been bombarded with  
18 relentless attempted gaslighting and character framing, physical and metaphysical  
19 attacks, attempted entrapments turned false arrests, grand thefts, daily stalking with  
20 intent to harass and worse than anything one person could conceive in an outrageous  
21 and cowardly scheme to take life, steal business, and evade justice. That is not how this  
22 true story ends because this petition must be granted.

23  
24 **B. Respondent; The People (includes Petitioner)**

25 The People and Petitioner should be viewed as one and the same in this action, which  
26 should be without opposition as it is simply a petition to restore constitutional rights  
27 that were illegitimately disrupted years ago. Petitioner is the only victim from not only  
28 the case that resulted false arrest, illegal search and seizure of the property to be

1 returned, but also in the follow-up fraud necessitating this action. Unlike a chunk of  
2 the puppet opposition, “we the people” are American citizens. The preponderance of  
3 evidence and points made in courtrooms logically proved opposing party fabrication.  
4 Complete transcripts would not only exhibit technically irrelevant not so random word  
5 injections indicating political party and religious preference of a corrupt mental health  
6 court judge following the pattern of racketeering activity, but also that the ridiculous  
7 pre-trial testimony from the alleged victim in the criminal case, combined with  
8 Petitioner’s Motion to Dismiss and exonerating evidence, easily prove perjury. The law  
9 affords District Attorney’s office discretionary option to act as the opposing party where  
10 there is no honest argument against this action that would not most probably result in  
11 Petitioner amending RICO defendants through a conspiracy tort in federal court.  
12 Literally all opposition is linked to malicious prosecutions and obstructions for which  
13 people belong behind bars, but Petitioner is still pursuing civil remedy using not limited  
14 to their possible prison sentences as leverage for civil relief as requested.

### 16 **III. BACKGROUND**

17 This document covers Petitioner’s brief and should be nonexistent “not a criminal”  
18 record because most of it was orchestrated in attempt to render Petitioner defenseless  
19 to evil RICO Defendants first attacking, then conspiring to obstruct while using the  
20 justice system as means to take Petitioner’s life physically if not metaphorically. “They,”  
21 referring to the criminal enterprise and conspirators in any way involved with  
22 furtherance of the racket, created problems; not vice versa. Petitioner is a diligent  
23 solutions man. It is for this reason and in the interest of justice that records be  
24 corrected, destroyed, and sealed, with rights restored, property returned, and order or  
25 at least recommendation for LA County Sheriff to issue a Carry Concealed Weapons  
26 (“CCW”) permit, which should have been issued in 2014 and is a “benefit of the bargain”  
27 remedy for fraud opposed to all the resulting false arrests.

1 Petitioner filed a Law Enforcement Release (“LER”) application for return of his pistol  
2 a few years ago, but pretentious government actors, aka “criminals,” are conspiring with  
3 roots of evil racketeers and pulling strings in the DOJ. Coerced or willfully  
4 participating, Fair warning is pretty much always given regarding the adoption of  
5 patterns of racketeering activity entailing liability through the doctrine of conspiracy  
6 regardless of proving direct collusion. Racketeers have been coming up with patterns  
7 of disingenuous reasons for insanely long delays and forced repetition of tasks regarding  
8 not limited to denying the return; stall / time draining and trap tactics.

9  
10 The racket is similarly interfering with not limited to Petitioner’s healthcare, housing,  
11 income, and pretty much every aspect of life. Criminals within the DOJ, including local  
12 and federal authorities, have been delaying and neglecting in conspiracy with those  
13 stalking, trying to gaslight, provoke and entrap Petitioner literally every damn day. It  
14 should be apparent that racketeers have been framing Petitioner’s character with lies  
15 about his mental health, which has always been perfect, and not only because  
16 fabrications coincide with false arrests and other attacks following a linear timeline of  
17 retaliation for Petitioner defensively filing pro se civil actions as Plaintiff with  
18 indisputable evidence attached; to the irrational point where all opposition have zero  
19 evidence in support of their allegations because Petitioner is innocent, yet there was  
20 plenty of time to change the BOF prerecorded phone system with abnormal  
21 prioritization on mental health. Thieves who are much less intelligent could not  
22 villainize Petitioner, so they attempt to frame perceptions for manipulative purposes.  
23 All it takes is literacy and honest conversation to see this is the truth.

24  
25 The only reason given for denial by the BOF was a vague reference to the follow up false  
26 arrest 422 criminal threat charge reduced to misdemeanor before forced no contest plea,  
27 which was later expunged, with no relinquishment order, and only a standard  
28 temporary weapons prohibition while on bail, own recognizance and informal probation;

1 then the record was supposed to be entirely cleared. Petitioner was screwed by the  
2 public defenders (“PD”)s and deceived by BOF’s intent to waste limited time and money  
3 on an at the time irrelevant live scan, which is a current transcript of an individuals’  
4 criminal record, to challenge what was surely conceived around the time of false  
5 imprisonment caused by puppet string-pulled corruption: public defenders, judges, and  
6 shrinktards in conspiracy with the racket. They should and could have been straight  
7 up about the (false) “conviction” being a default 10-year gun prohibition regardless of  
8 expungement; nevertheless, the background check became inadmissible upon 30-day  
9 expiration date. Order from this court was offered as a remedy for return, or as a  
10 possible trap tactic. Petitioner had to figure out the seemingly concealed method for  
11 accomplishing this by doing research himself per usual, so he became informed enough  
12 to know for certain that this remedy is possible and without facing the evil corrupt judge  
13 who already proved willingness to obstruct or worse by sending Petitioner to the also  
14 corrupt mental health court resulting in said false imprisonment without a trial. It is  
15 not mandatory for the same judge to hear this, nor is it appropriate or lawful.

16  
17 Obstruction of justice over the past decade enabled multiple chains of fools not limited  
18 to worthless neophyte shrinktards trying to make names for themselves, including those  
19 of blood relation, trying to both steal priceless intellectual property through modernized  
20 gaslighting, character framework, and sick minded aspirations of looting a corpse,  
21 unpaid storage while falsely imprisoned, or conservatory over Petitioner's alpha brain.  
22 They have tried to erase evidence of foul play with intent of rewriting history because  
23 they are otherwise without “ins” and most probably would risk careers without being  
24 bribed by evil roots of RICO Defendants. Petitioner has filed far too many neglected  
25 reports to various authorities, as recently as this year, and is still moving forward with  
26 civil RICO process filing justifying firearm protection while waiting for good authorities  
27 to stop obstructing and start enforcing.

1 **IV. CASE SUMMARIES**

2 **A. Case #BA437791 (2015) – False Arrest for PEN§ 422**

- 3 ♦ False Arrest + Corrupt Public Defenders & Judges; 6 Weeks False Imprisonment
- 4 ♦ Exonerating Defense Obstructed; No Contest Plea was Forced; Expunged in 2018

5

6 Petitioner was tortured by and is still experiencing the effects from the malicious 422  
7 charge combined with worse than obstruction of justice, which has resulted in “major  
8 damage” would be an understatement. With motive, intent, and surely bribed for  
9 purpose of entrapment-to-murder, a criminal parking officer, who testified that she did  
10 not like her job, conspired most probably with the incompetent criminal landlord whose  
11 puppet strings were absolutely pulled by evil John Doe defendants of Petitioner’s RICO  
12 claim. There was no honest reason for a parking officer using a false identity to be out  
13 front of Petitioner’s tucked away villa in the Hollywood Hills where the fraudulent  
14 accuser had been in a long and loud attention drawing verbal argument with Petitioner’s  
15 crazy landlord who was ticketed for being illegally parked on the street for no legit  
16 reason when her assigned driveway spot was open and while Petitioner’s car was in his  
17 private garage. There were numerous noncoincidences linking a pattern of racketeering  
18 activity to the perjurer who clearly lied by saying Petitioner had both hands on her car  
19 and not his camera/phone, and that Petitioner, without motive, was engaging in  
20 completely out of character and unbelievably rageous for five long minutes when  
21 Petitioner had first alleged their argument was at least five minutes long, with time-  
22 stamped images proving he was only outside for 30 seconds as exhibited in the motion  
23 to dismiss filed prior to unreal testimony.

24

25 Corrupt court actors colluded to have exonerating and role reversing evidence excluded,  
26 both before and after the PD had to be fired for not filing it, and again when the scumbag  
27 unbelievably managed to force himself back on the case like WTF!? The criminal judge,  
28 who was also cast by name hack, sent Petitioner to mental health court for an



1 illegitimate reason. The first fraud court doctor's "short report" was fabricated with  
2 obvious errors not limited to inconsistency with Petitioner's numerous recounts, logs,  
3 pleading, etc. consisting of thousands of pages without a single discrepancy; moreover,  
4 since demanding a second opinion and providing notes from real medical doctors who  
5 spent time with Petitioner both past and present along with results of real private  
6 psychiatric tests indicating genius, a ccess to all surely fraudulent patient records have  
7 been criminally denied including the "long report" from the second doctor who was  
8 surely defending the first. There is obvious motive that is not simply elusive, but more  
9 certainly to leave things open-ended for purpose of custom character framing tailored to  
10 the racketeered specifications and preventing the supreme intellectual's line by line  
11 rebuttal to what is surely loads of rubbish.

12  
13 This short and sweet summary should be sufficient, but much evidence is posted:

14 @ [https://russellrope.com/law/motion\\_dismiss.pdf](https://russellrope.com/law/motion_dismiss.pdf)

15 @ <https://russellrope.com/law/exhibits.pdf>

16  
17 **B. Case #ZM025125 (2014) – False Arrest; No Conviction**

- 18 ♦ 5150 by Corrupt Lost Hills Sheriffs; Excuse for Defrauding of CCW Application
- 19 ♦ 5 Year Relinquishment Order from Corrupt (Now Closed) Mental Health Court

20  
21 Defendants of the federal RICO claim have been heinously obstructing justice in courts  
22 and at law enforcement since 2014. Petitioner was and still is receiving death threats  
23 and reporting to the authorities who are conspiring with dumb Does, so he purchased a  
24 small pistol, took a class, and applied for a CCW permit from the Sheriff. None other  
25 than LASD, store purchased from, the BOF, and venue that provided training knew  
26 about the pistol, which was responsibly stored in a lockbox, which was hidden in a locked  
27 private room in Petitioner's home. The application was intentionally delayed until  
28 Petitioner was misdirected to Lost Hills Sheriff station where they not only fabricated

1 a proven to be erroneous report, but also tried to provoke resistance via multiple  
2 unlawful searches; specifically, by checking under the underwear and touching the shaft  
3 of the penis without gloves, and then reiterating for the only logical reason being  
4 attempts to agitate and worse entrapment for resisting.

5  
6 The no longer existent mental health court was absolutely corrupt, not making decisions  
7 based on anything presented in the courtroom, and intentionally interjected words in  
8 reference to religion and politics that had no reason to be uttered other than following  
9 the pattern of racketeering activity where fools with delusions of grandeur think they  
10 are above the law and entitled to leave credit seeking footprints as if they can get away  
11 with numerous instances of more than unchecked murder. This was not only retaliation  
12 against Petitioner for defending himself, his physical and intellectual property, his  
13 licensed business, and his future family, but it has also surely since been used as false  
14 justification for obstructing justice and human rights violations not limited to housing,  
15 healthcare, and employment. The bad judge issued a five-year relinquishment order.  
16 Sheriffs have confirmed possession of property, then recently attempted to lure  
17 Petitioner back to the station under the false pretense that he was eligible to recover it.  
18 This was a trap with intent for another false arrest either by provoking altercation via  
19 denying the return after being misdirected into traveling at the cost of time and gas, or  
20 by releasing the property with knowledge that the possession would have been a felony.  
21 In retrospect, this was probably an attempt to murder, if not to entrap then murder  
22 Petitioner; observation also based on back-to-back-to-back lethal automotive attacks  
23 that started around the same time. The racket has been relentlessly trying to entrap  
24 Petitioner; literally attempting to take his life on an evolving level of evil corresponding  
25 not only to filing of civil processes, but also based on petty remote screen-watching is  
26 espionage where envious fools hate on Petitioner for his independence and not  
27 conforming to their insanely defamatory narrative. This Petitioner is the only human  
28 entitled to write and produce his truth.

1 **C. Case #2CJ07941**

2 This was another false arrest more than an entrapment; like other situations because  
3 no crime was committed by Petitioner. The charge for brandishing of a pocketknife (in  
4 self-defense) was not only caused by an incompetent one-sided demand for person-to-  
5 person arrest by the false accuser and actual criminal is a faux security guard and had  
6 first invaded privacy of Petitioner in a locked bathroom stall, physically pushed is  
7 assault and battery, then aimed pepper spray at the Petitioner who was not doing  
8 anything wrong. The instigator's strings were certainly pulled by the same racketeers  
9 pulling strings not limited to at the location prior and causing misdirection to where the  
10 incident occurred, at LAPD, and in the courts. More than all the forementioned places  
11 have been trolling restrooms while knowing Petitioner has a physical disability; most  
12 probably caused by evil John Doe defendants of the RICO claim.

13  
14 The plea bargain was taken for immediate relief; misdemeanor reduced to fee-waived  
15 infraction for disturbing the peace, which was not disturbed at all, and with advance  
16 agreement to return property; the latter part of the deal was not honored by the now  
17 demonstrated to be foolish deal breaking judge thereby earning them the right to very  
18 possible federal RICO charges; especially considering other extremely evil courthouse  
19 shenanigans; specifically, racketeered camera stalking and other tricks such as filing  
20 charges after first arraignment in attempt to cause a missed appearance and arrest.  
21 Not guilty would have been crazy to turn down the deal, but intent at the time was to  
22 return to legal action from safety after the tables turned. The common pattern of  
23 Petitioner's activity exhibits extreme preference for civil resolution when incompetence  
24 becomes feloniously agitating. The exhibition was a wise and effective deterrent  
25 whereas words, raising fists, or swinging on the poor judgement of the accuser would  
26 have surely resulted in their ineffective use of pepper spray and possibly loss of their  
27 unfit life. How long does one expect nonviolent defense to felonies if obstruction of  
28 justice continues? Petitioner is a most tolerant ninja, but he is also human, and people

1 have limits. This case is of relevance not only because it was a false arrest like the  
2 others, but also because it was the only criminal charge since the previous and more  
3 relevant false arrests nearly a decade ago; point being Petitioner is well behaved.

4  
5 Not only does Petitioner have a naturally sweet disposition, but this case also stands as  
6 more evidence that not one, but two recent judges in this court found Petitioner to be  
7 both “competent” and “intelligent,” as have all others in the past with exception to the  
8 mental health related frauds; half of whom changed their stances after damage was  
9 done. These statements should be verifiable in true and complete transcripts, yet it is  
10 doubtful that the court reporter notated previously mentioned word injections because  
11 to them it probably seemed irrelevant like a spoken version of a typo. The second judge  
12 on this case had agreed with the city attorney’s office to return the confiscated  
13 pocketknife upon receipt of a written motion, but then incompetently broke the deal like  
14 a predictable puppet, which is just dumb following the pattern of disarmament and  
15 attempting to render Petitioner defenseless when he had already replaced the exact  
16 item, which is necessary both as a tool and for personal protection.

17  
18 A third unrelated but honorable recent Los Angeles Superior Court judge also found  
19 Petitioner to be both “competent” and “intelligent,” then “in the interest of justice”  
20 dismissed two separate unfair traffic infractions that are more properly labeled “police  
21 harassment(s)” caused by puppet string pulled stalker cops who came at Petitioner  
22 when he returned to his parked car. The point is an honorable judge ruling in favor of  
23 this petition would be acting in solidarity by recognizing that Petitioner is most  
24 competent and that granting this petition is truly in the interest of justice.

- 25
- 26 ♦ Unfiled Defense Attached (Located on Lodged DVD)
- 27 ♦ Posted @ <https://russellrope.com/real-legaltrilog-revolution>
- 28

1 **D. Petition is Like a New Civil Case**

2 Despite necessary attachment to an old case number, the former “Defendant” is back on  
3 the right side of the law with an appropriate title change to “Petitioner.” Without going  
4 through lengthy civil processes, based on a most recent rejection letter from the BOF,  
5 more research and discovering authority for a viable process in this court.

6  
7 **1. Faith in Ultimate Justice**

8 Petitioner is a man of science with faith in justice, but the system has certainly been  
9 tainted by manipulators fueled by self-serving greed with pretentious justification based  
10 on religion Petitioner does not subscribe to; hypocritically, by criminals who do not abide  
11 by their chosen religions. This was the basis for said word injections, and then the  
12 casting of the corrupt PD who like other obstructors is now retired, and most probably  
13 not coincidentally to others having been promoted. The law is designed with a checked  
14 and balanced science based on facts above all; certainly not for racketeers. The system  
15 is only flawed if it does not provide a solution based on following the rules and taking  
16 proper courses of action. BOF directed Petitioner to seek attainable relief from the  
17 court, no mention of the pardon process, both read to possible by law without going back  
18 to trial. Similar petitions were simultaneously filed in this court and to the Governor,  
19 both of whom are without honest excuse not to grant relief.

20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **2. Correction of Records**

2 After challenging the live scan, before discovery of somewhat concealed laws as cited,  
3 perpetrators “amended” the record with seemingly racketeered intent. This is a big  
4 problem that can still be corrected and hopefully by this petition. The record appears  
5 to have been doctored to favor the incompetence conspiring to seriously illegal  
6 racketeering activity with motive for framing the character with worse than truly  
7 nonexistent mental health issues. This is not the only time or place the racket used this  
8 tactic where patterns of attempting to create false records and delete real evidence are  
9 undeniably connected and responsive to the true preponderance of Petitioner’s legal  
10 grind. There are additional dings in need correction because it all adds up, and while  
11 the main purpose of this petition restoration and return, Petitioner is attempting to  
12 acquire a completely clean slate; therefore, requesting any additionally appropriate  
13 action entailing the correction, destruction, and sealing of the record.

14  
15 **a. Framed Mental Health Record**

16 Amended to read “Mentally Incompetent” where it previously read “Incompetent to  
17 Stand Trial,” which is a big difference and both untrue, and despite further down saying  
18 found “Competent” with competency restored, people possibly reading and making poor  
19 judgements based on this record probably pay attention to order over details when they  
20 are not informed enough to make any decisions regarding the complicated life of  
21 Petitioner. One must be incredibly dumb to be unable to pass the tests to restore  
22 competency to stand trial, or certainly not competent enough to write like this. Anyone  
23 reading any white paper authored by Petitioner should be able to recognize Petitioner  
24 is extremely competent in much more than the legal arena. Moreover, Petitioner  
25 presented medical records from psychiatric testing proving genius as well as a note from  
26 a primary medical doctor of ten years stating Petitioner is most sane and competent.  
27 The corrupt mental health court refused not only to acknowledge those records, but also  
28 would not share those they fabricated. The entire thing was an attempt to frame the

1 truly perfect mental health of the Petitioner, to render him defenseless to oppressors, to  
2 cover up false arrests and proven claims.

3  
4 Several groups are still in violation of patients' legal rights to access medical records  
5 despite numerous official requests and even a criminally quashed subpoena. This is an  
6 obvious attempt to leave false records open-ended with intent for tailoring to anticipated  
7 follow-up entrapments and in alignment with the doctored record. Racketeers are still  
8 gang stalking Petitioner daily, literally everywhere, with gaslighting tactics attempting  
9 to agitate and provoke altercation for intended exploitation, entrapment, and murder.  
10 These are technically felonious life endangering criminals meriting lethal self-defense  
11 by Petitioner who is truly seeking peace necessitating justice.

12  
13 It takes great demonstrated diligence and competency to undertake these legal actions  
14 *in pro per* without any help. The racketeered life situation makes everything much  
15 more difficult and time-consuming; for the record, the original version of this was  
16 directly disrupted by the most recent false arrest, which hampered the *pro se* legal grind  
17 time back to falsely arrested defendant side. They cannot buy Petitioner out of the civil  
18 cases filed before all criminal cases, which are retaliatory, but "they" referring to the  
19 racketeers have relentlessly stolen as much of Petitioner's time as possible and this  
20 pattern crosses over into other areas such as law enforcement intentionally stalling on  
21 Petitioner's reports of stalking, fraud, etc., and when made simple as possible including  
22 most recently naming a low level string-pulling racketeer believed to have faked his own  
23 murder; nevertheless, reality of that allegedly armed lethal home invasion could have  
24 been stopped with a pistol.

25  
26 The corrupt judge in the first court for the 422 charge had originally found Petitioner as  
27 Defendant competent to fire his corrupt PD and represent himself, but then Petitioner  
28 laid down the law on paper proving it was a setup and was about to receive subpoenaed

1 information further incriminating the racket before the ignorant puppet judge said he  
2 thought Petitioner was incompetent to stand trial because of serving a Pitches Motion  
3 to the Deputy District Attorney instead of the City Attorney, which is a completely  
4 reasonable and correctable error. All staff interacted with at the no longer existent  
5 mental health court; specifically, the psychiatrists, but also the PD and judge are  
6 shamefully incompetent in comparison to Petitioner, and the erroneous and defamatory  
7 false diagnosis used to falsely imprison Petitioner without trial was despicably criminal.  
8 In order to prove competency to stand trial, one has to pass three ridiculously simple  
9 tests that basically cover knowledge and functions of courtroom actors and what a plea  
10 bargain is; nothing on the level of *pro se*, and Petitioner should have been allowed stand  
11 by counsel for something as if a subpoena could not have been easily reprinted and  
12 served to the City Attorney. All three tests to restore competency to stand trial could  
13 have been aced on day one if not in court, but they intentionally stretched out the  
14 detention in their relentless efforts to inflict serious damages.

15

16 **b. Confidential Name Change (Character Frame)**

17 Petitioner is an active member of the California Secretary of State's Safe at Home  
18 Program, which provides service for laws that support victims of crime with address and  
19 name change confidentiality provisions. There should only be one name for Petitioner  
20 on any unsealed record, and since before false arrests, that name should be "Russell  
21 Rope." Any records with a conflict of interest should be destroyed and sealed for that  
22 legal confidentiality. RICO Defendant John & Jane Does including but not limited to  
23 character framing shrinktards of relation have been intentionally trying to create  
24 records linking themselves to Petitioner for exploitative purposes, which was certainly  
25 part of the reason for the name change before filing any civil lawsuit and prior to  
26 opposition's elusive and retaliatory false arrests. Assumptions and unfounded decisions  
27 to obstruct justice in all cases seem to have been made in part based on religion, which  
28 this skeptic believes in less and less the more and more racketeers try to play God with



1 Petitioner's life despite grand efforts to prevent foreseen violations of not only rights,  
2 but also of life and liberty; such as by going through the complicated process of  
3 confidentially changing his identity. The corrupt PD on the 422 case was certainly  
4 assigned based on religion and Petitioner's birth name, which was on the never should  
5 have been issued arrest warrant. His name was a hack indicating he was not there to  
6 serve Petitioner, but rather a specific RICO Defendant; moreover, his actions and  
7 inactions spoke louder than words.

8  
9 **c. Incorrect Occupation (Character Frame)**

10 + Petitioner is a licensed business owner; Should say "Entrepreneur" or "CEO"

11 - Remove "Unemp; Unemployed; Webmaster"

12 \* This is a pigeonhole / bum character frame; Where was that title pulled from?  
13

14 **d. Fraudulent Amendment Adding "Firearm Restriction"**

15 This restriction was not on the live scan, nor were firearms rights discussed in that case.  
16 There was no relinquishment order or notification as required by law. Petitioner never  
17 would have agreed to the plea bargain if anyone were upfront about anything to do with  
18 this. The original condition of probation was only temporary weapons ban while on  
19 informal probation as the record indicated prior to amendment. Not limited to culprits  
20 doctoring these records belong in prison unless people start pointing fingers at roots of  
21 evil in exchange for penalty reductions. RICO defendants have been trying and failing  
22 to destroy evidence. They recently took down Petitioner's web server corresponding to  
23 the signature date on which not limited to this was going to be filed and continue proving  
24 intent to waste time, fabricate and falsify records. In so doing, they keep demonstrating  
25 accuracy of allegations made by Petitioner in the past while simultaneously supporting  
26 present claims such as regarding the concealed medical records alleged to be left open-  
27 ended with evil intent in deranged attempt to stack up to Petitioner's preponderance.  
28

1 **e. False Arrest at UCLA Used for Library [Computer/Software] Ban**

2 Possession of concealed a box cutter razorblade forgotten in Petitioner’s jacket pocket  
3 while going through his storage unit the day prior, with dated receipt from paying  
4 storage unit bill also in pocket, does not come close to violating the law and  
5 notwithstanding illegal search or rejection by City Attorney’s office because they would  
6 have lost. This is worse than an erroneous ding on the record. Officers intentionally put  
7 an imaginary address “Transient Way” on the citation and record in attempt to frame  
8 Petitioner as a problematic bum to whoever might review only the one-sided fabrication.  
9 Petitioner had his UCLA library card along with ID in his wallet. He has lived, worked,  
10 and attended school on campus, which is also where his parents met. Petitioner was not  
11 carrying a prohibited weapon, has levels of affiliation, and good faith intent, unlike  
12 others believed to be behind this incident and standing between him and continued  
13 education. Their main motive for arrest and ban must have been disruption of  
14 Petitioner’s since delayed TV show for which he was creating 3D motion graphics on  
15 masters’ library computers at the time of arrest, which is still causing damages that  
16 must be reversed.

17  
18 \* Unfiled Defense Attached on DVD & Posted

19 @ <https://russellrope.com/ucla-og-rr/> / @ <https://russellrope.com/UCLAPD2019.pdf>

20  
21 **f. Substance Non-Abuse**

22 The dismissed concentrated cannabis arrest, with order for return of property, was the  
23 first false arrest by Lost Hills Sheriff’s conspiring with known racketeers who have  
24 unrealistic senses of false entitlement and connections to the Sheriffs. They were  
25 targeting Petitioner with trap checkpoints, and that time it was a few blocks away from  
26 Petitioner’s home the night before a first of its kind tradeshow at the LA Convention  
27 Center, for which Petitioner had been preparing to market his business. Petitioner has  
28 been a legitimate lifelong medical cannabis patient since having major surgical

1 operations to reconstruct his digestive system as a young teen around the time  
2 Proposition 215 passed in 1997. He does not use tobacco products and mostly micro-  
3 doses for chronic pain from not limited to said main reason. Defendants most probably  
4 poisoned Petitioner to force surgery and permanent disability. They have continued the  
5 pattern not only by causing a broken foot resulting in chronic pain with pending tests  
6 for nerve damage and are also interfering with most healthcare and applications for  
7 social security disability based on SSA Disability Blue Book physical disabilities, which  
8 suspect case analysts indicated intent of only approving if Petitioner lets shrinktards  
9 diagnosis him with a mental health condition. It would have been easy to get maximum  
10 benefits since 2016 if not for fact that the honest Petitioner has straight pride in his  
11 flawless mind.

12

13 Not even the only thing arrest on the entire record that might have been legitimate was  
14 listed as public intoxication, without sobriety test, but in reality was for posting a hand  
15 bail aka a sticker on public property in San Diego where police intentionally harassed  
16 Petitioner by lining him up between a bunch of large African American dudes in a  
17 freezing cold jail and forcing him to strip. Petitioner was admittedly drinking with good  
18 friends from college and caught drunk walking to from a pre-party to a concert at a  
19 nearby nightclub, but has never had any substance abuse problems, and while he did  
20 not quit drinking, it is relevant to note that Petitioner has not had so much as a sip of  
21 alcohol in years. This record may or may not have been doctored, but the entry on the  
22 list is suspect; either way, it was not charged, happened another life ago, and should be  
23 cleared.

24

25

26

27

28

1 **F. More History & Evidence**

2 Petitioner filed civil lawsuits in 2014 at Central District and 2015 at Superior Court,  
3 before forementioned false arrests, which are brought to light in the pending complaint,  
4 or possible amendment if SCOTUS resurrects the 2017 case. Both firearm restricting  
5 cases #BA437791 & #ZM025125 are documented in the First Amended Complaint  
6 (“FAC”) with exhibits proving the claim; sealed lodge + filed & posted:

- 7
- 8 ♦ Complaint @ [https://russellrope.com/1stAmendedComplaint\\_RICO\\_RR.pdf](https://russellrope.com/1stAmendedComplaint_RICO_RR.pdf)
- 9 ♦ Exhibits @ [https://russellrope.com/RICO\\_Exhibits\\_RR.pdf](https://russellrope.com/RICO_Exhibits_RR.pdf)
- 10 ♦ New Violations @ [https://russellrope.com/RICO\\_PreFilingSettlementOffer.pdf](https://russellrope.com/RICO_PreFilingSettlementOffer.pdf)
- 11 ♦ Case History @ <https://russellrope.com/real-legaltrilog-revolution>

12

13 Civil complaints detail up to 2017, and while only some new violations have been briefly  
14 mentioned in petitions filed since, most of what is covered in the technical pleading does  
15 not address a substantial number of counts of causes of action over the past six years;  
16 many of which are listed in a nearly expired cease and desist and demand pre-filing  
17 settlement offer. Petitions in higher courts mostly focus on ending obstruction of justice.  
18 A new petition for SCOTUS to reinstate the RICO claim is set to be filed at the same  
19 time as this, and the new RICO complaint or amendment on the backburner is already  
20 over a hundred pages.

21

22 The linked/attached documents, authored by Petitioner, which are the only honest files  
23 regarding all aspects of the RICO case, etc., by this reference, are made a part of this  
24 petition as evidence. The RICO claim was criminally obstructed for illogical reasons in  
25 District Court. The appeal was also criminally obstructed; without being written let  
26 alone filed. Corrupt judges in the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit decided to go out of order and maliciously  
27 dismiss a non-existent appeal as frivolous, without requested explanation and neglectful  
28 of correspondence inquiring as to receipt of evidence. In so doing, they obstructed an

1 application for appointment of pro bono counsel, which does not become available to a  
2 Plaintiff until the Appellant level. Obstructors have been making it impossible to  
3 acquire representation, as Plaintiff or Defendant, and that is a pattern of racketeering  
4 activity. It also resulted in a handicap at SCOTUS where counsel should have been  
5 inherited from Circuit Court; nevertheless, this should have been settled in 2014 and  
6 Petitioner is more than capable of representing himself.

7  
8 Corrupt case analysts at SCOTUS were neither docketing nor filing everything sent to  
9 the Justices. They were intentionally stalling to sabotage processes, which are presently  
10 frozen with separate pending applications to both the circuit and Chief Justice(s) who  
11 must respond to the next petition or subpoena will be necessary. Applications and  
12 Petition directed to The Chief are with purpose to restore the RICO claim, resuming  
13 with ADR and discovery plus a logical request and application seeking nominating  
14 support and admission to the SCOTUS BAR.

## 15 16 **V. MEMORANDUM OF POINTS & AUTHORITIES**

### 17 **A. Points**

- 18 1. Petitioner is Factually Innocent; Falsely Arrested; Falsely Imprisoned
- 19 2. Only Relinquishment Order from 2014 Expired in 2019
- 20 3. Forced No Contest Plea for 422 Conviction was “Expunged” / Dismissed in 2018
- 21 4. “Benefit of the Bargain” Remedies for Fraud + Conspiracy Tort to RICO

### 22 23 **B. Authorities**

#### 24 **1. PEN § 29860(a-e)**

25 Establishes the right to petition for exemption or relief from firearm prohibition imposed  
26 by PEN § 29805 for anyone previously convicted of PEN § 422, which is the only reason  
27 the DOJ BOF is denying the LER. This law authorizes the court to restore firearms  
28

1 rights in the interest of justice and with consideration of all relevant evidence. It  
2 requires broad discretion to fashion proper relief.

3  
4 "(a) Any person who is subject to the prohibition imposed by Section 29805 because of a conviction  
5 of an offense prior to that offense being added to Section 29805 may petition the court .... (b) The  
6 petition shall be filed with the court in which the petitioner was sentenced .... (c) .... court shall  
7 set the hearing date .... (d) .... court may reduce or eliminate the prohibition .... (1) Finds by a  
8 preponderance of the evidence that the petitioner is likely to use a firearm in a safe and lawful  
9 manner. (2) Finds that the petitioner is not within a prohibited class as specified in Section 29815,  
10 29820, 29825, or 29900, or subdivision (a) or (b) of Section 29800, and the court is not presented  
11 with any credible evidence that the petitioner is a person described in Section 8100 or 8103 of the  
12 Welfare and Institutions Code. (3) Finds that the petitioner does not have a previous conviction  
13 under Section 29805 .... (e) .... court may consider the interest of justice, any relevant evidence,  
14 and the totality of the circumstances. It is the intent of the Legislature that courts exercise broad  
15 discretion in fashioning appropriate relief under this section in cases in which relief is warranted  
16 ...."

## 11 2. PEN § 530.6(b)

12 Establishes the right for a victim of identity theft, whose identity has been mistakenly  
13 associated with a record of criminal conviction, to petition for judicial determination of  
14 factual innocence. Petitioner's identification has been stolen more than once by the  
15 racketeers who not only caused the erroneous records that expose the confidential name  
16 change, but those racketeers also obstructed justice and have been moving in on  
17 Petitioner's claim, life, and essentially assuming his identity to some degree, and with  
18 suspected intent to use both the physical identification and persona to commit more  
19 crimes against Petitioner.

20  
21 "A person who reasonably believes that he or she is the victim of identity theft may petition a  
22 court," .... "Any judicial determination of factual innocence made pursuant to this section may be  
23 heard and determined upon declarations, affidavits, police reports, or other material, relevant,  
24 and reliable information submitted by the parties or ordered to be part of the record by the court.  
25 Where the court determines that the petition or motion is meritorious and that there is no  
26 reasonable cause to believe that the victim committed the offense for which the perpetrator of the  
27 identity theft was .... subject to a criminal complaint in the victim's name, or that the victim's  
28 identity has been mistakenly associated with a record of criminal conviction, the court shall find  
29 the victim factually innocent of that offense. If the victim is found factually innocent, the court  
30 shall issue an order certifying this determination."

27 There is "no reasonable cause to believe that the victim [Petitioner] committed the [422]  
28 offense that the perpetrator[s] of the identity theft" also conspired to via shared liability

1 under a conspiracy tort to the RICO claim. Petitioner pleaded identity theft in the RICO  
2 complaints. No motive, intent, and the timing explained with evidence in the motion to  
3 dismiss combined with transcript of the accuser's bogus testimony prove perjury. Not  
4 limited to the exhibits presented to the court, prior to the outrageous obstruction, linked  
5 the entire situation to the RICO claim through documented patterns of racketeering  
6 activity such as the accuser's hack name and license plate number. Defendants had  
7 been pulling strings of more than the landlord and other residents, and similarly at  
8 Petitioner's previous home, next home, and relevant to recently debilitating housing  
9 fraud being neglected by the authorities.

10  
11 The new RICO claim includes a lot more than grand theft reported to LAPD. Racketeers  
12 stole Petitioner's backpack with laptop, professional camera, and wallet containing  
13 multiple forms of identification. Investigation, like all reports to LAPD, was obstructed  
14 where video surveillance probably would have proved this was targeted possibly by  
15 corrupt government; maybe secret service, or literally agency cast actors pretentiously  
16 impersonating secret security, and it simultaneously disrupted the nearly complete at  
17 the time Petition for Writ of Certiorari and publishing of several public relations stories.  
18 Needing a computer and longer library hours to rewrite that petition is what brought  
19 Petitioner back to UCLA.

20  
21 John Does identified under seal have stolen several physical IDs, relentlessly try to take  
22 Petitioner's life not only physically as in murderously, but also metaphorically meaning  
23 his "identity;" business and intellectual property are money is not the only motive, but  
24 so is the lifestyle Petitioner created for himself. The perpetrators behind the identity  
25 theft and relentless trap tactics are most certainly responsible for all the false arrests,  
26 and also directly liable for more than countless counts of causes of action in the RICO  
27 claim thereby making them "subject to a criminal complaint in the victim's name" in the  
28

1 sense that victim is Petitioner and identity thieves are subject to criminal violations and  
2 probably indictments brought by and in the name of Russell Rope.

3  
4 Moreover, the "victim's identity has been mistakenly associated with a record of  
5 criminal conviction" that was forced by the corrupt court and resulted in defamation to  
6 the character or "identity" of a most sane and brilliant Petitioner who is still being  
7 affected post expungement. The identity of the Petitioner needs to publicly win a clean  
8 slate to counter the perpetrators' framework. The arrest warrant for the 422 charge  
9 was not issued for Petitioner by legal name because his identity was criminally obtained  
10 by illegal search, nor was it about something Petitioner did, but in reality, caused by  
11 racketeers attempting to take the identity/life of Petitioner and to destroy if not divide  
12 the intellectual property where they could not otherwise compete with the innovative  
13 serial entrepreneur being the Petitioner.

14  
15 Petitioner filed police reports for not limited to criminal threats prior to false arrests,  
16 which was the legal basis for both joining Safe at Home and the confidential name  
17 change; largely in part for that disconnect from foreseen dumb, evil, envious, greedy and  
18 otherwise worthless attempts to exploit a connection; people and motives are identified  
19 in evidence lodged under seal in the RICO claim. Petitioner was at home in the  
20 Hollywood Hills trying to mind his own business before they used the damsels in fake  
21 distress tactic to lure both Petitioner outside and law enforcement to the scene.

22  
23 **2. California Rules of Court Rule 4.601(b)** for judicial determination of factual innocence  
24 regarding use of form CR-150; rule differentiates between the specifically labeled  
25 "identity theft victim" and "the court may allow access to any other person on a showing  
26 of good cause" to access and therefore use the form; interpreted as reason to allow  
27 someone falsely arrested to use the form, or in this instance optional, in seeking  
28 determination of factual innocence ruling based on what is exhibited.



1 **3. 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment**

2 “the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed”

3

4 **4. PEN § 33865(b)**

5 Establishes a time limit for the DOJ BOF to conduct a background check. When time  
6 limits for things expire, so do the rights to use information contained within said things;  
7 specifically, the inadmissible information regarding the conviction standing between  
8 Plaintiff and his firearm rights.

9

10 “The department shall have 30 days from the date of receipt to complete the background check,  
unless the background check is delayed by circumstances beyond the control of the department.”

11 There is no excuse for the background check to have taken more than half a year despite  
12 numerous follow ups. There would not be a thirty-day limit if it could not easily be done  
13 within thirty days. Circumstances were not beyond control, but rather being  
14 manipulated in effort to attack/provoke, delay, and render Petitioner defenseless; in  
15 furtherance of the criminal racket and following the forementioned pattern of  
16 racketeering activity. Petitioner has no real criminal history; zero record of alleged  
17 violence or anything serious. There is no legitimate reason to assume or believe  
18 Petitioner would not responsibly possess his firearm, as he did the entire time it was in  
19 his possession. The pistol was not involved in any incidents. It was illegally seized from  
20 the carefully secured privacy of Petitioner’s home during the first false arrest. It should  
21 be easy to recognize the entire record is framed with intent to discredit Petitioner’s and  
22 his defense to relentless thievery motivated trap tactics.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **3. FRAUD @ CIV § 3294 > PEN § 484 (& § 118) > 18 USC § 1341**

2 The elements are met for much more than these causes of action, but the point is  
3 numerous reasons to grant the restoration of firearms rights such as “benefit of the  
4 bargain” is legal remedy for fraud on all the following levels of restore Petitioner’s rights  
5 and return property:

- 6
- 7     ▪ Petitioner is a law-abiding citizen who's right to bear arms shall not be infringed
- 8     ▪ Law enforcement would not have been involved if racketeers ceased & desisted
- 9     ▪ Pistol was acquired because law enforcement neglected threats on Petitioner’s life
- 10    ▪ No excuse not to issue CCW permit if not for false arrest
- 11    ▪ Gun would have been returned if not for obstruction of justice in the post 5150 trial
- 12    ▪ 422 would not have occurred if not for the displacing 5150 despite perjurious accuser
- 13    ▪ No false arrests for carrying conceal weapon or brandishing if not for displacements
- 14    ▪ Obstruction is not the only slippery slope that started with RICO tech defendants
- 15    ▪ Gun should have been returned after the first thirty days of fraudulent delays

16 Regarding Petitioner’s entire record at the DOJ, all of which was either individually  
17 dismissed, expired, or an infraction, nothing has been proven regarding defamatory  
18 mental health allegations or criminal activity in their delusional character framework,  
19 but quite the contrary whereas allegations of “false” arrests, corruption, and obstruction  
20 are absolutely proven with pleading, paper trails, and most importantly, clear and  
21 convincing facts and evidence.

22 **4. Judicial Determination; Power of the Judge & Court in the Interest of Justice**

23 The judge has some discretion here, but that discretion was written into law with intent  
24 of all things exhibited must be considered in ruling on this, and no position against it  
25 can be defended, so discretion really becomes a matter of free will to keep an oath and  
26 do the right thing, or jump into a sinking boat with filled with previous obstructors,  
27 whom Petitioner intends to charge with no mercy RICO. Nevertheless, *pro se* is  
28 supposed to be treated with leniency; facts matter more than minor technicalities, all of  
which are believed to be in harmony as presented. Reason for remedy is cited with  
method and no madness; simply an honest man seeking justice, attempting to convince

1 an honorable judge not to make the same terrible mistakes, and sincerely necessitating  
2 relief as requested in the interest of justice.

## 3 4 VI. EXHIBITS

### 5 A. Lodged DVD w/PDFs

6 All hyperlinks cited in this document lead to .PDF documents not only located on  
7 Petitioner's website. Identical .PDF exhibits are also lodged on DVD and accessible via  
8 the dockets and courts, and by this reference, attached and hereby made a part to this  
9 petition hereof. More evidence can be provided, detailed, or subpoenaed. Any opposition  
10 has absolutely zero hard evidence; only hearsay with blatant motive.

## 11 12 VII. GOOD REASONS TO GRANT

13 These *pro se* actions, demonstrated intelligence, knowledge of law, experience and  
14 ability to implement are characteristics of a worthy citizen. Petitioner considers himself  
15 on the same side as good law enforcement, and so should your honor.

### 16 17 A. Main Points

- 18 ♦ **Honesty:** Like Abe; no lies, contradictions, or discrepancies; just truth & integrity
- 19 ♦ **Initially Plaintiff** *in pro per* to civil RICO w/ legitimate multibillion dollar claim
- 20 ♦ **No Motive:** Petitioner is educated & talented; Demonstrable work; An "A" in ethics
- 21 ♦ **IP:** Need for defense based on priceless intellectual property, threats, & attacks
- 22 ♦ **Disciplined:** Martial artists; Daily superhero ninja fitness = healthy body & mind
- 23 ♦ **Legal Grounds:** Basis for restoration of firearm rights arises from PEN § 29860.
- 24 ♦ **Eligibility:** Meets criteria by law, was expunged, is near 10-year prohibition limit
- 25 ♦ **Rehabilitation:** Petitioner has never had an addiction, has not had alcohol in years,  
26 worked some gig jobs, owns an LLC, an INC, and an NPO, continuous self-education,  
27 occasional volunteer, works on fitness in the gym daily, etc.

- 1 ♦ **Compliance w/Law:** No priors and only one conviction for an infraction from false
- 2 arrest during 8-year span since the false arrest that caused the prohibition
- 3 ♦ **Statement of Intent:** Rights restored and commitment to responsible firearm
- 4 ownership is based on need for sustained security with too much on the line to do
- 5 anything illegal that would result in another breach of personal security.
- 6 ♦ **Impact Statement:** Potential positives from restoring firearm rights includes ability
- 7 to protect oneself, loved ones, or possibly one in need. Honor and justice for this true
- 8 story sets an example of civil, good and legal methods for conflict resolution.
- 9 ♦ **Supporting Documents:** Attached documents and exhibits vs. incompetent slander
- 10 ♦ **Legal Authority:** Petition not only demonstrates why restoration is appropriate, but
- 11 the cited authorities also give judges the ability to grant relief as requested.
- 12 ♦ **Rules:** Following the specific procedures and requirements of this court.

13  
14

15 **B. Basic Timeline of Criminal Racket's Obstruction of Justice:**

- 16  
17 **1982:** The genius of Petitioner was born on Thanksgiving Day  
**1996ish-Present:** Racketeers Defraud of Life & Business  
18 **2011-2014:** Official Cease & Desists Neglected; Responded to w/ Death Threats  
**2014:** Three Federal Civil Cases Filed & Obstructed Because In Forma Pauperis  
19 **2014:** Police Reports of Death Threats etc. Obstructed  
**2014:** Gun Purchased & Applied for CCW  
**2014:** New Federal Civil RICO Case Filed & Obstructed  
20 **2014-2015:** False Arrest 5150 & Case Obstructed  
**2015:** Filed New Pro Se CA State Civil Case  
21 **2015:** 422 False Arrest at Home in Hollywood Hills; Conspiring Landlord  
**2016:** Fair Refusal to Pay Rent, Tenant Forced Eviction Case, Filed Counter Claim  
22 **2016:** 422 False Imprisonment Disrupt of State Civil & Couter Eviction  
**2017:** New Fed RICO Case Filed, Appealed, & Petitioned & Obstructed  
23 **2017-2022:** Continuation of all Crime Permitted by Obstruction of Justice  
24     ▪ More False Arrests, Police Harassment, & Obstructions of Investigations  
25     ▪ IT Hacks; Social Web, Comm Tech, Hardware & Software  
26     ▪ Numerous Housing Displacements, Physical Attacks, Grand Thefts,  
27     ▪ Daily Stalkers, Attempted Entrapments, Evil Healthcare Disrupts, etc.  
28 **2023:** Motions to Clear False Arrests & Return Property; Two Separate Petitions  
**2023:** New RICO Case? Please influence Chief Justice to unfreeze case @ SCOTUS

1 **C. Motives**

2 Petitioner began legitimately seeking justice long before the criminal racket retaliated  
3 with their evil framework of false arrests and more where all Plaintiff action has been  
4 righteously defensive. Increasingly, and regardless of six degrees of separation, literally  
5 everyone in the first world knows, if is not related to, someone trying to compete where  
6 they cannot legitimately compete with at least one main aspect of Petitioner's  
7 businesses, which have remained on track with a steady pace of evolution, convergence,  
8 and resistance; all spanning nearly three decades and before the alternative became  
9 mainstream or was conceived, and in most instances emulated. In short, Petitioner is  
10 the original; whereas John & Jane Does enabled by defendant corporations consist  
11 mostly of wannabes and copycats. These assertions, like all Petitioner's claims, can  
12 be defended. Petitioner's priceless IP equates to control is power and money are motives.

- 13
- 14     ▪ Defendants = \$\$\$,\$\$\$,\$\$\$,\$\$\$ & Future Business
- 15     ▪ John Does = Envy + Greed – IP
- 16     ▪ First Justice Obstructing Judge (VBK) - Retired After Obstructing
- 17     ▪ Sheriff Connection to Family Friends - Promotions & Retirements
- 18     ▪ LAPD Connection to Hollywood Competition - Promotions & Retirement
- 19     ▪ First Corrupt Court Doctor - Website for Personal Practice; Pattern of RICO
- 20     ▪ Second Corrupt Court Doctor - Male version of first female doctor; Protecting Colleague
- 21     ▪ First Corrupt Public Defender – Now retired; Disrupted not Defended Petitioner
- 22     ▪ Other Name Hack/Court/Government Actors; This List Sadly Goes On & On

19 **VIII. REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

20 In the interest of justice, please grant the separately attached proposed orders for all,  
21 or at least as many as possible, of the following:

- 22
- 23
- 24     ◆ Order for LASD to Return Property w/ Storage Fee Waived
- 25     ◆ Order for LASD to issue CCW Permit w/ New Application & Fees Waived
- 26     ◆ Order for Modified / Destroyed then Sealed Record(s)
- 27     ◆ Any Possible Relief or Support for Federal RICO Claim
- 28

1 **IX. CONCLUSION**

2 Humbly stating, articulate and intellectual cannot be so easily mistaken for  
3 incompetence let alone to stand trial. Petitioner is factually innocent, which was  
4 exhibited prior to the forced no contest plea bargain leading to the conviction in  
5 question, and the hidden opposition keeps tacking on more lies, which can and have  
6 been proven to be nothing more than one-sided nonsense. It should be extremely obvious  
7 to any literate person of moderate intelligence that this is all truth. The risk of counts  
8 upon counts of perjury or defamation would not be worth the reward to anyone with this  
9 skillset and background. The opposition has much to lose and most of their puppet  
10 racketeers are already losers with everything to gain motive, possibly coerced to believe  
11 in the framework-to-obstruction, but with intent. Please excuse any repetitiveness in  
12 this document as disruptions diverted attention from it several times. The criminal  
13 racket is, in reality, an evolution of intentional, greedy, and envious evil.

14  
15 It is in the best interest of The People, most people directly involved, including numerous  
16 possible dumb John and Jane Does, that we may begin making amends here before new  
17 characters end up getting served with RICO based on the doctrine of conspiracy as a  
18 tort linking obstructors of justice following an obvious pattern of racketeering activity  
19 entailing liability for all causes of action. Please restore Petitioner’s constitutional  
20 rights, return his property, and help him start clearing the falsified framework of a  
21 record that is haunting his rights to live with security in peace and freedom by granting  
22 this petition in its entirety is “in the interest of justice.”

23  
24 Respectfully,

25  
26 /s/ Russell Rope 08/28/2023  
27 justice@russellrope.com  
28 *Petitioner In Pro Per*  
+1 (310) 663-7655