IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Russell Rope,

Petitioner,

VS.

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc., JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

Respondents,

[CORRECTED] Petition for Rehearing; Petition for Writ of Certiorari to US Court of Appeals for Ninth Circuit; No. 18-55782 (2:17-cv-04921)

[EMERGENCY] PETITION FOR REHEARING; PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI

I. SUMMARY: Petitioner, Russell Rope, seeks rehearing of the Supreme Court of The United States ("SCOTUS") denial, filed by the clerk on October 15, 2019, of the Petition for Writ of Certiorari in the above entitled case number 19-5616. Briefly and distinctly stated, this Petition for necessary rehearing is limited to grounds not previously presented and based on constitutional due process rights being violated, life in danger, and humanitarian rights of citizens at stake. Denying the Petition for Writ of Certiorari was a grave error that must be corrected as soon as possible. Filed by mail on the timely date of Monday, December 2nd, 2019, this [Corrected] Petition for Rehearing is just within the 15 (previous version within 25) day limitation set by SCOTUS Rule 44. Petitioner has yet to receive the denial letter that was sent via snail mail on November 18th, 2019, but the case analyst just read it over the phone and explained what needed to

be fixed; Affidavit/Certification statement and page length. Petitioner again did not receive any explanation in regards to denial of the first Petition where anything that cannot be explained is usually wrong. Petitioner knows that no explanation is often the case when denied in SCOTUS, and while The Court probably tends to be correct, this extraordinary crisis situation demands full attention from Justices in direct communication with Petitioner, orally is now requested over any further obstructions inclusive to denials possibly by clerks. In this specific case, no denial is final without explanation and proof that Justices reviewed all the information not limited to what is on the docket. Petitioner is permitted to file one copy of this Petition under Rule 44 because of filing *in forma pauperis*, for the same reasons given in previous filings made a part of this petition hereof, and again hereby motions for this Petition to be filed with any deficiencies, for everything to be reviewed personally by the Justices, and for Petitions to be granted without delay, based on true emergency.

II. BACKGROUND: A. The Complaint is REAL: The main reason to move forward is because the greatest of all time claims is 100% legitimate and Petitioner followed the rules, inclusive to requested minor lawful exceptions, in all three federal courts; District, Circuit, and Supreme, where favorable to Plaintiff, Appellant, and Petitioner orders should have been granted simply because reasons supported by clear and convincing facts and evidence undoubtedly outweigh waivers and previously irrelevant therefore insubstantial fluff oppositions. Petitioner gave Respondents fair warnings through neglected cease and desist communications, can backup all claims and the alleged elements in detail where Respondents contradicted themselves arguing that both too much and not enough information was provided and that they could not decipher the

Complaint in their perfectly restated summary of their attempted understanding. Petitioner went so far as to lodge under seal an extra layer of testimony logically connecting claims, Defendants, and John Does, many of whom would have been forced to reveal themselves had subpoenas not been quashed by a crooked judge, yet was met with intentional neglect, complete disregard, and criminal obstruction. B. **Accusations** Have Not Been Denied By Defendants & Violations Have Not Stopped. Petitioner hardly reported all the terrible things Respondents have done or caused, but rather limited it to what can easily be proven up until the Complaint was filed in 2017 and should be amending not shortening. Repondants minus John Does pending possible amendment or a new case waived rights to respond in opposition to Petition for Writ of Certiorari, which is out of character on a level like they already knew how SCOTUS would respond. That does not sit right as there is no logical explanation other than conspiracy and obstruction. Respondents not limited to identified under seal Does have not denied accusations or stopped attacking, but rather the opposite, evolving in evil, and on an everso relentless more than daily dose of terrorism. Why is this being permitted when it should not be negotiated? There is only one solution on the table, and it is just, so what is wrong with that? C. Due Process / Obstruction of Justice: Denial @ SCOTUS is new grounds based on due process. It appears as if justice obstructing government actors in each court are intentionally stalling like they have been coerced to believe in character framework and that forgiveness and resolution or tragedy will come from the most tainted source being the contents of the Complaint. Respondents do not deserve unlimited opportunity to create the illusion of good intent and trying to set things right while truly violating rights with self-serving intentions. Plaintiff has reasons for seeking relief from the highest level of police on the planet and those reasons are justice.

Respondents are fueled by greed and envy; not love, which is their misdirecting illusion of how the framework is being presented in support of their delusional beliefs in a way things are supposed to be done like there is a script to life that they think they get to write. Respondents take more than they give, give what is not desired, which is not giving, and they punish for no other reason than hating on a Plaintiff being a hunter not a gatherer hungry enough to take a bite of the terminally poisoned apple. D. Relentless Attacks With New Evidence: Respondents keep using the same tactics expecting different results, which is truly incompetent insanity leaving a trail of new clear and convincing facts and evidence proving the obvious pattern of conspiracy. Everything filed on this end is fresh and valid where Respondents or justice obstructors telling the same lies over and over again does not change the truth. E. False Defense Summed Up: The entire defense not limited to what is docketed is fraud; a misdirecting framwork The Framework of The Constitution is what matters, and upon based on lies: examination of facts and materials presented, Justices should realize that Respondents have been framing the good Petitioner as bad, trying to trick him out of the lawsuit and a lifetime of intellectual property, to make him look incompetent, while simultaneously framing themselves as good, or better if not the best, and their immoral justifications are dumb. Petitioner is not getting jobs because he is not married, and can not provide security for a quality potential mate, let alone pay for a good date, or acquire proper health care etc. without money he needs to work for, and it is all part of Respondents' scheme to control and traffick humans. These morons want recognition for volunteering to feed homeless when they only got the idea from literally stalking and spying on the survival skills of someone they stole from and put on the street. Some of them think they are special based on foolish lies regarding their initials, or that religion makes them

above the law, and other ridiculous examples can be further elaborated upon, but it is extremely relevant and more important for SCOTUS to take seriously all accusations including against judges who appear to take direction from and lied for Respondents regardless of their outrageously false and immoral justifications. A single false imprisonment or entrapment is grounds for heavy legal action, especially in the instance of motive being greedy personal gain at the expense of theft from an innocent, and multiple false reports and false arrests have been proven and stand to be pled and proven, all with obvious motive and following Petitioner's civil reactions to rights violated, yet no Court has entertained the truth, not even with questions in disbelief; most probably because they are either bribed and/or coerced, or scared to mess up their careers like it will prevent promotions such as those all identified to be bad cops have been receiving. The most honorable Justices in the known universe are the only judges who can overrule everything without going to the ultimate level being Congress with accusations of obstruction or filing new cases where this one is as perfect as pro se gets and time is of the essence. The tactics used by Respondents, including John and Jane Does, are like nightmares of movies where the protagonist gets disconnected from society and locked up in a mental hospital or island prison although there is nothing wrong with them or their actions, so the rich brat can get away with crime. Who did not route for The Count of Monte Cristo? Petitioner prefers the non-violent more brilliant version; is not some Lex Luther unlike how corrupt Sheriffs tried to analogize Petitioner. In reality Petitioner is an original character capable of framing himself, good as they come, preferably like a Gandhi, MLKJ, or Honest Abe, without a violent ending, and opposed to this serious martyr POW situation from a faux framework in violation of what was clearly written by our Founding Fathers. The frame has been relying in part

upon human trafficking of trap ho damsels is in fabricated or exaggerated distress. Please excuse the language of the label, but some of them are literally to borderline prostitutes, a few Petitioner fortunately turned down who then turned out to be pornstars, most lacking common morality, and without pure intentions. The worst of multiple entrapments and false imprisonments was based on females crying wolf on both ends to lure the true hero Plaintiff and foolish cops into the situation. If men and women are to be equal in the eyes of the law, then the law must not be gender biased when choosing who to protect. Motive must be thoroughly assessed even in the instances of female cop or pretty white girl(s) crying wolf. Respondents keep attacking Petitioner in his homes or places he frequents like home when he is minding his own business every Respondents, specifically John Does with motive have been trying to frame time. Petitioner with false allegations of mental/health issues because it is the easiest way to discredit the honest genius with too much integrity to question. For the record, Petitioner is very healthy, both physically and mentally, extremely hygienic, fit and head-strong to take on everyone and while Respondents have been interfering with health care, specifically dermatological, and are alleged, based on scientific observation and experiments over time, to cause and exacerbate skin problems through food poisoning and health care provider coercion and/or bribery. They then send stalkers to invade privacy and take bad pictures shared with Does followed by cryptic/harassing messages trying to make Petitioner think his temporarily sabotaged appearance is like a permanent disability and reason to keep Petitioner from having a desirable relationship, or equal right to conduct business in a free market, or to seek justice by law. Petitioner is naturally very attractive, or can be the opposite given the situation, but always cleans up well, and Respondents are literally trying to make Petitioner look unhealthy, or at

least to appear as having symptoms for a scapegoat murder through misdirecting framework, or as false justification for interposing on relationships they need to stay away from rather than try to control or connect with perception of debt where assistance in that department is both unwanted and not needed. For instance, Plaintiff is fortunate to have a strong immune system and tolerance to things where they have been trying to induce pneumonia by messing with extreme temperature/climate control. Other examples and observations such as bad doctors trying to prescribe pharmaceuticals that Petitioner is allergic to or with side effects that mimic symptoms of disease, and it basically comes down to a serious allegation of fake HIV or AIDS diagnosis to healthy people possibly coming from tainted information technology controlled by criminals. Petitioner suspects murders are probably tied to the roots of this ageist criminal enterprise that has very possibly been killing others for profit and control. Petitioner maintains his health and see physicians regularly, has never been diagnosed with an STD, and was only told he is "very well read" when questioning medical doctors about everything relevant; specifically in regards said side effect causing medication being refused. Petitioner is an intellectual, an artist, and an entrepreneur; not a licensed attorney, or more specifically not a model or actor although Respondents forced him into that line of underpaid side labor as part of their framework requiring amendments of more Defendants over filing anew. Our legal rights are not dictated by physical appearance; skin, weight, height, size of our private parts, etc. Finally, this is about justice, not everything Respondents are trying to make it about as they are without a doubt engaging courts with illegally coerced stall tactics. A major aspect of the defense has been a strategy based on wasting precious time, which is priceless. The right to a speedy trial should go both ways. It is ridiculous how long this has gone on and without

ever having a face-to-face conversation with a judge or the other party despite numerous attempts. Respondents are consuming time as misdirection from competitive business, wasting time to buy more time to evade prosecution, and to deplete financial resources in an attempt to starve Petitioner into submission or loss/of life and freedom.

Ш. CONTINUED VIOLATIONS: A. Police Reports Ordered By Occurrence: See Appendix 1 is hereby attached and by this reference made a part of the Petition for Rehearing. B. Unreported: Relentless Respondents and Does have been attacking daily, and there is too much to add or report here, so the main things that come to mind are one of the unreported assaults resulting in Respondent caused broken foot, sabotaged health care before all the reported grand theft including of auto, and things corresponding to labor picked up here and there. Respondents are: not stopping, becoming increasingly relentless usually corresponding to each sign of legal success. Technology Respondents are still abuse of power hacking accounts as previously pled, there have been more instances of banking related fraud, etc. C. Geographical **Violations:** Most violations are similar, based on stalking and geography following the same patterns. The more routine and predictable location of Petitioner, the more gang stalking and violations that occur. Specific places include the gym where camera stalkers and attempted entrappers have been the worst, to libraries where abuse of power over network software is being used to spy, to the bus since car was stolen and where one is trapped in being unable to simply walk away from problems, to around the town and places were Petitioner eats. C. New Evidence: Identified John Doe Peter Thiel's company provides software that LAPD, other government, and security companies use. He is also known to be an anarchist, head of the "PayPal Mafia," is

invested in not limited to several Respondent corporations, but also Doe Corporations, some of which were mentioned in the Complaint, and along with his affiliates, until there is resolution, should be viewed as an enemy of The Court. Thiel and affiliates basically own the social web, which they used to kill Petitioner's personal life and businesses before investing into competition that did not previously exist. They most probably bought cops and judges to obstruct justice probably not limited to through connections established via control of software supplied to law enforcement. His enterprise seems worse than a monopoly and must be investigated through no less than discovery. Connections between Petitioner, business, personal relations, Peter Thiel, John Does, and Defendants identified in Exhibit 52 lodged on DVD under seal @SCOTUS. D. Worsening Conditions: Most problems are being caused by Respondents attacking financial resources, killing client relationships, blocking potential investors, and using employment related fraud to keep Plaintiff poor, resulting in housing fraud making Plaintiff accessible to losers lured into criminal conduct, etc. Respondents conspired to get Plaintiff kicked out of his family home without any income as they obstructed employment after they ruined most of Plaintiff's relationships. They then followed Plaintiff from home to home using roommates to cause problems and push him out of each, into false imprisonment, into going for broke living in a cheap hotel while working on and filing the Complaint, to sleeping in a small car waiting for justice, to obstructing that justice and stealing the car to forcing winter shelter and stealing personal belongings, to the street and obstructing housing and shelter resources the entire time making it harder to do everything including writing this Petition literally for his health. Stalker, entrapment, and assault attempts continue to worsen as The Courts stalling is obstruction is only making Petitioner increasingly vulnerable. E. Character Frame:

Petitioner has perfect mental health. If not, then why is access to medical records that could be used for treatment being denied? There is absolutely nothing wrong with him, but there is certainly something wrong with anyone, specifically a medical doctor, who would make any such claim without either a conversation and debate in the face of disagreement, or at least without sharing information about the diagnosis. Prolonging concealment only serves two purposes: 1) Hide crime, and 2) create open-ended opportunity for fake records/evidence for future false imprisonment or attempted theft of life through criminal conservatorship. **F. Obstruction**: Humanity first is more important than family first crime preventing Petitioner from having his own family and is being used as false justification for obstruction. The least amount of violator/family losses come from Petitioner winning as pled, and along with that the greatest amount of good. Not having to file against or give credit in any way to every individual villain and petty little company was the plan and amendment of original Defendants back into new legal action would be inevitable. Petitioner would press criminal charges with law enforcement and through arrest warrants attached to new RICO filings. Intentionally, coerced, orderdered, bribed, or not, clerks certainly obstructed justice in all three courts and there are still documents missing from the SCOTUS docket; specifically, Motions for: Expedited Relief, Summary Judgement, Electronic Filing, Filing with Discrepancy, and possibly most importantly to Lodge Evidence Under Seal with evidence attached via files burned to DVD. Petitioner does not currently have any proof or reason to believe Justices got to review anything before clerks summarized, presented, and a poor decision was entered. Justices must review this case. Explanations must be given, questions answered directly and independently, and Motions and Petition granted. The Constitution demands this justice.

IV. ARGUMENT: Justice has been obstructed on every level and the highest court must overrule all the lies. There is no logical explanation supporting the bogus dismissals and denials. Not resolving conflict in this court creates new cases and exacerbate problems. What is to stop Respondents from obstructing justice again? This cycle needs to stop before it starts over. Enough is enough. Keeping it brief as not to be too repetitive, additional grounds for granting follow these main points: A. The Petition(s), Complaint(s), & Appeal Are Not "Frivolous": The Appeal/Opening Brief was not written or filed at all; therefore, denial of something non-existent under any label was invaled and a violation of due process. B. Claims Were Successfully Stated In The FAC If Not Complaint: Petitioner made short and simple statements of the main claims and all subsequent claims for which all elements were alleged and use of the doctrine of Res Judicata as a defense is a lie that does not apply with a precedence of being overruled by the higher courts as cited in response to opposition. Each new instance or count of a violation extends all of the claims that bind the conspirators to RICO and all of the other causes of action regardless; because res judicata is not a license to keep committing the same crimes. C. Judgement: Live Q & A is necessary to light any shadows of doubt if any truly exist. People need to stop comparing Petitioner through limited visual perception of what has been framed by someone(s) other than the creator while incomplete, to people without original vision who have been stealing ideas and not battling sabotage for the past decade. It is much easier to improve on a design than to do something new. Petitioner can always improve on his own original genius. Much of the time a professional has to accept a project as is and move on to the next when they can do better and the issues raised in the Complaint have created an unlevel playing field in

terms of technology and quality capability and where goons are being promoted from below just to help competitors cheat.

V. ATTACHMENTS: Exhibit lodged under seal on DVD @ SCOTUS contains relevant information: college admission essay explaining necessity for medical cannabis, business plans, marketing materials, non-disclosure, non-circumvention and non-competition documents, impossible to fake video evidence similar to publicized photographic/screen shot evidence. Recognize that Petitioner is and has been a legitimate businessman evolving in original production and progress over a lifetime of focused education, experience, and professionalism.

VI. CONCLUSION: A. Relate: This is a matter of law opposed to some battle of the pigeonholes. Plaintiff is a perfectionist with an open mind and loving heart that is not afraid to stand up for what is right. A skeptic with respect for all religions claiming love as his only religion and only answering to the law. We are all on the same team USA if not team humans from Earth. Petitioner is mostly self-taught, self-made, this is his life, he owes no one, and not granting the Petition is essentially giving a life sentence to an innocent man genius who has only the best intent. Petitioner has much respect for the Justices were we all share a single most important common value, which is a belief in upholding The Constitution is the law. Whether your jurisprudence is conservative, textualist, practical, originalist, pragmatic, based on precedence, or some other legitimate type of interpretation; this case, in all three levels of federal courts, is based on all of the above with no room for obstruction. It might not be the umpire's job to pitch or bat, but they are to provide a level playing field being court appointed pro bono

assistant counsel as requested if truly necessary. If the pitcher intentionally hits the batter because he does not need a handicap to hit a grand slam, then the batter gets to walk opposed to the batter being ejected for not committing an error and without any rights because the pitcher and umpires are from the Ivy League and the batter was put in a position where he represents the public sphere. Petitioner is using his education, both traditional and self-taught, to fight for things all of you care about: civil rights, equal employment opportunity, health care, freedom; of speech, press, and religion, all of which are huge aspects of this case. The Court must examine governmental motives in these areas because reasonable basis to do so has been presented in a most articulate fashion supported by clear and convincing facts and evidence. It is all of your duties to defend any statute as long as there is a colorable argument to be made, regardless of personal opinions. This case has purpose and consequences of neglect only exacerbate the situation and make way for new ones. Progress now protects Petitioner, Respondents including a ridiculous amount of identifiable John/Jane Does and justice obstrutors from new litigation, from being amended back into another case, and from being filed against with arrest warrants attached in all future cases, investors from loss as Petitioner is offering equity for cash as part of an alternative dispute resolution, and most importantly security for any of the many citizens whose rights fall under neglect of the questions posed. It does not matter if you black or white, a weak man or a strong old woman literally pushing through cancer, a conservative or liberal, Catholic, Jewish, or Nuyorican, a practical originalist, a proponent of textualism and/or originalism, or an advocate of natural law jurisprudence. We are all human US citizens, have the same rights, and a duty to uphold The Constitution. The Supreme Court should examine governmental motives when deciding not limited First Amendment cases; especially with reasonable basis to do so. Justices know that most impressive nominees, candidates, and even Petitioners, are or have been grossly mistreated and that law does not just apply to protect what is most popular, but perhaps the most important work is in protecting unpopular beliefs, vindicating this nation's long-held aspiration to serve as a refuge of tolerance. Justices know that haters will lie for personal gain if the risk is worth the reward, and it is when things have been permitted to go on for so long that big business, money, and freedoms are at stake; all of which for Petitioner has a most righteous claim. B. SCOTUS Must Permit Discovery & ADR Then Hear Case If **Necessary**: This case is in the best interest of the United State of America because it will be an example of civil, peaceful conflict resolution that sets questionable truths regarding our rights in stone. Liberty and justice for all means everyone and this is the only pragmatic solution. Times and technology have changed, so new precedences must be set as a Deterrent to both modern and future crime. This case proves the value of a proper education over John Does who think improving on a stolen design is in the same league as doing something intellectually honest. Denying this case is like killing the American Dream, which is still kicking and screaming for justice. SCOTUS must hear a case with rational basis for claims of obstruction of justice within the Department of Justice because it is the only court higher than those allegedly infiltrated by most wealthy Defendants. Anyone reading or filing this has a duty to the people of our great nation to uphold The Constitution, which guarantees the justice sought. America is not supposed to negotiate with terrorists even if they are domestic, and Judges must remain impartial based on personal preferences. Modern issues regarding this case that also affect society need to be addressed by law. Very serious things not only Petitioner is being affected by require no less than thorough investigation, which would really only

turn up more evidence in support of existing claims and positive affirmations. Some examples are not limited to: 1) A lot of the Complaint may very well be rooted in the rise of information and communication technology in the 80s being used to control and defraud people of life and liberty by interfering with not limited to personal finances and health care. 2) Not everyone used to have a camera or ability to mass communicate, but now every scum bag has one, which has created an exploitation spy generation in conflict with the right to own your image, to be free from stalking, harassment and invasion of privacy. 3) Cannabis is medicine and it is our constitutional right to decide on proper health care. SCOTUS must force the DEA to reschedule for medicinal purposes and the nation should let the states decide on recreational or legalize altogether. In conclusion, Petitioner requests that rehearing be granted and that SCOTUS reverse the order to deny the Petition for Writ of Certiorari and permit this case to progress. This Petition is presented in good faith and not for delay. Please grant this vital justice.

/s/ RUSSELL ROPE 12/2/2019

Petitioner & Plaintiff In Pro Per