

~~#24~~_____

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

Russell Rope,

Petitioner,

vs.

Facebook, Inc., Apple, Inc., Alphabet, Inc., Twitter, Inc.,
JPMorgan Chase & Co., & John Does 1 to 10,

Respondents,

On Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus

The Supreme Court of the United States; Case #19-5616 & #20-5236
The United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit; Case #18-55782
District Court for the Central District of California; Case #2:17-cv-04921

IN RE RUSSELL ROPE. PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

Russell Rope

#1607 POB 1198
Sacramento, CA 95812
(310) 663-7655

Petitioner In Pro Per

“Show me the body’ of all nine justices” .. .

© Copyright 2024 to Infinity; All Rights Reserved

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

Whether a Writ for Habeas Corpus Will be Issued

- Was Petitioner's right to fair trial violated due to misconduct or obstruction?
- Did Petitioner receive effective assistance of counsel in superior courts?
- Did federal courts apply correct legal standards in Petitioner's case not limited to regarding circuit court dismissal without appointment of counsel and filing a brief or appeal, or district court lying about not stating a claim?
- Should Petitioner have been permitted to further amend the complaint based on progress where magistrate judge falsely and defamingly claimed petitioner was not capable of improvement?
- Was labeling of a not-yet-existent appeal as anything, let alone "frivolous," and simultaneously dismissing the case out of order in violation of due process rights?
- Do not all levels of federal court have jurisdiction to overrule *res judicata* as found in cited case(s) or based on new instances and counts of serious crimes?

- How exactly did Petitioner allegedly fail to state a claim in the FAC?
- Petitioner alleged all elements and offered to provide more details, so why did the ridiculously and redundantly girthy defense have any merit after Petitioner responded to the bogus responses line by line calling out fluff pretentiously trying to match the preponderance of facts and evidence?
- Was the entire body of evidence received and considered in all courts and how can that even be possible if no federal judge or justice has seen the evidence that is Petitioner's scarred living body?
- Did circuit and chief justices receive applications filed and addressed to them?
- Did lower courts correctly interpret and apply all relevant law and constitutional principles in the case?
- How was each seemingly hand picked federal judge assigned to cases in lower courts when selection is supposed to be random, and if honest, then why did those motioned against so adamantly refuse justified reasons for recusal?

- Based on the totality of circumstances, how is Petitioner not unlawfully imprisoned is controlled on various levels and without due process?
- Is the government involved in the relentless daily stalking of Petitioner even if in the form of pretentious investigation?
- How did each justice vote on each previously filed petition at SCOTUS?
- Who is the web administrator at supremecourt.gov that caused broken links from Petitioner's website to the SCOTUS docket as well as making it so one must now search for "Russell Rope" with quotes to get the case to come up?
- Who is casting case analysts with hack names corresponding to defendant Does?
- Aside from subpoena to a new case, how is Petitioner supposed to trust that Justices received anything without face-to-face hearing by writ of *habeas corpus*?
- Who is pulling puppet court actors' strings to obstruct justice in direct conspiracy to RICO and does the highest court have the integrity to hold them accountable?

LIST OF PARTIES
To Be Amended As Necessary

A. PETITIONER:

Russell Rope is an independent American genius and prisoner of wars.

@ russellrope.com/original-genius-og/

B. RESPONDENTS:

- Facebook [Meta], Inc. is located in Menlo Park, CA.
- Apple, Inc. is located in Cupertino, CA.
- Alphabet, Inc. is located in Mountain View, CA.
- Twitter [X], Inc. is located in San Francisco, CA.
- JPMorgan Chase [Bank] & Co. is located in New York, NY.
- John & Jane Does 1 to 10 are located locally to internationally.

** Defending respondents did not file any opposition to previous petitions at SCOTUS, and that should be considered a sign of guilt if not similar to a no contest plea.*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

★ QUESTIONS PRESENTED.....	2
★ LIST OF PARTIES.....	5
★ TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	6
★ INDEX TO APPENDICES.....	7
★ TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED.....	8
★ OPINIONS BELOW.....	9
★ JURISDICTION.....	11
★ CONSTITUTIONAL & STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED	14
★ STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....	18
○ CASE SUMMARY & RELIEF SOUGHT	
○ “THE ISSUES PRESENTED”	
○ “THE FACTS NECESSARY....”	
★ REASONS FOR GRANTING THE WRIT(s).....	31
○ “THE REASONS WHY THE WRIT[(s)] SHOULD ISSUE”	
★ CONCLUSION.....	38

INDEX TO APPENDICES

Justice Obstructing Federal Court Opinions + Recent Document

- **APPENDIX A: 18-55782** - 9th Circuit Court of Appeals
- **APPENDIX B: 2:17-cv-04921** - Central District of California
- **APPENDIX C:** Most recent cease & desist & demand letter outlining some of the violations committed by respondent racketeers since filing the complaint.

* This is the fifth attempted *habeas corpus* filing, and all required corrections were made each time. Can you not smell obstruction justice? The only legitimate return was the first. Attached exhibits were intentionally destroyed by case analysts in their obstruction of lodges three out of four times. The first DVD was returned scratched, second DVD was returned shattered, first USB drive returned fried, and they stole the second USB drive from the fourth filing, which had a sticky note that read “stop destroying my evidence.” This parallels an increasing number of unexaggerated murderously intentful death traps. Exhibit I contained evidence not limited to numerous digital diagrams and electronic documents that are too bulky for mail; inclusive to previous case files, appendices removed from this page, new separate but relevant case files as evidence, etc. Moreover, name hack case analysts again appear to be criminally obstructing in conspiracy to RICO as defined by law. Exhibit to be presented in person at mandatory *Habeas Corpus* hearing. This was also sent to additional federal authorities, news, and SCOTUS police.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES CITED

- **28 USC §§ 2241, 2242, & 1254(2)** - SCOTUS Jurisdiction - Page 11
- **SCOTUS Rule 20.(4)(a)** - Habeas Corpus - Page 18
- **Article III, § II of The Constitution** - Establishes SCOTUS - Page 13
- **28 USC § 1651** - Due Process - Pages 13
- **Article I, § IX of The Constitution** - Habeas Corpus - Page 14
- **Judiciary Act of 1789** - Habeas Corpus @ SCOTUS - Page 14
- **First Amendment** - Right to Petition to Government - Page 14
- **Second Amendment** - Right to Keep & Bear Arms - Page 14
- **Fifth Amendment** - Due Process - Page 15
- **Sixth Amendment** - "Assistance" of Counsel - Page 15
- **Eighth Amendment** - Cruel & Unusual Punishment - Page 16
- **Fourteenth Amendment** - Due Process - Pages 13 & 17
- **18 USC § 1962(a)(c) & 18 USC § 1964** - RICO / Remedies - FAC Pages 1-4, 70-74
- **18 USC §§ 1962(a)(b)(c)(d) & 1349** - RICO/Civil Conspiracy - FAC Pages 74-76
- **18 USC §§ 1510, 1513, & 1985** - Obstruction of Justice - FAC Pages 94-96
- **PEN § 470, 18 USC § 1001, CIV § 1710, CIV § 3294** - FRAUD - FAC Pages 77-79
- **18 USC § 1030 (a)(2)(c) & (a)(4), 18 USC § 1030(b) & (g)** - Computer Fraud
- **CFAA § 1030(a)/(c)(4)(A)(i)(I)-(V)** - Computer Fraud & Abuse Act
- **1240-1: PEN §§ 210.5, 236; 42 USC § 1983** - False Imprisonment - FAC Pages 98-99

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
To be Granted for the Best Reasons

Petitioner respectfully prays that a writ of *habeas corpus* issue for cases from federal courts: The malicious, out-of-order, justice obstructing opinion of the United States 9th Circuit Court of Appeals appears at *Appendix A* to the petition and is reported in previous petitions to SCOTUS. The one-sided, erroneous, justice obstructing opinions from the United States Court of the Central District of California appears at *Appendix B* to the petition and is reported in previous Petitions to SCOTUS.

It is necessary, by law and based on life-threatening emergency, not only that several writs issue for expedited progress in this collective case, starting with *habeas corpus*, then resuming the case where it was originally disrupted at the district court level if an alternative dispute resolution can not be agreed upon at or aside from SCOTUS, but also for any relief SCOTUS is able to provide without further ado because life is literally on the line, damages are accumulating, this has already consumed a tremendous amount of irreplaceable time, and all petitions for writs of *habeas corpus* are supposed to be treated with the immediacy of *ex parte*. Moreover, these obstructions have essentially been permitting the crime to continue, which has not only caused an evolution of problems and reiterations, but “they” have gotten away with things for so long that similar criminal tactics are probably being used to violate not limited to other citizens.

OPINIONS BELOW
Facts Above & Throughout

Obstruction of Justice in All Cases Not Limited to Civil @ Every Level; WTF!?

CASE # 20-5236 @ SCOTUS - No opinion provided; Obstructed via shady filing process?

CASE # 19-5616 @ SCOTUS - No opinion provided; Obstructed via shady filing process?

CASE # 18-55782 @ USA 9th Circuit - Dismissed by corrupt judges appearing to be aligned with illegal one-sided religious conflict of interest based on their names and malicious labeling of something non-existent, out of order, and clearly for purpose of criminally denying application for counsel; furtherance of obstruction of justice.

CASE # 2:17-cv-04921 @ USA CA Central District - Judge proven wrong if not corrupt

CASE # BC607769 @ CA Superior Court - Missed court literally due to false imprisonment

CASE # BC608501 @ CA Superior Court - Missed court literally due to false imprisonment

CASE # 2:14-cv-04900 @ USA CA Central District - Obstruction scam / *in forma pauperis*

CASE # 2:14-cv-04232 @ USA CA Central District - Obstruction scam / *in forma pauperis*

JURISDICTION
The Highest Court Has It

Jurisdiction of **SCOTUS** is invoked under not limited to **28 USC §§ 2241(a) & 1254(2)**. Petitioner alleges that justice has been obstructed in or at all three levels of federal courts including SCOTUS being the most recent, relevant, and with jurisdiction over the others. Petitioner is hopeful that conflict can be resolved with ADR upon issuance of the writ and continuance of due process where the FAC should not have been dismissed; commencing with ADR preferably in private at SCOTUS, or back in CACD with a new and impartial judge selected by the Chief Justice, security from no less than US Marshals, and any other relief possible. If the case goes to trial, then Petitioner should have his checks and balances restored for possibility of a real appeal.

28 U.S. Code § 2241 - Power to Grant Writ "(a) Writs of habeas corpus may be granted by the Supreme Court, any justice thereof, the district courts and any circuit judge within their respective jurisdictions...." & "(c) The writ of habeas corpus shall not extend to a prisoner unless— (5) It is necessary to bring him into court to testify or for trial." This establishes jurisdiction for the Chief Justice to issue the writ on his own merit.

28 U.S. Code § 2242 - Application "shall allege the facts concerning the applicant's commitment or detention, the name of the person who has custody over him and by virtue of what claim or authority, if known."

Key words: "if known" and it is not known with certainty, which necessitates discovery; additionally, previous false arrests and who had custody is relevant highlighted in the FAC and corresponding exhibits. It is all connected. Judges alleged to be obstructing this case are listed below and can be amended to include more dishonorable criminals.

"...It may be amended or supplemented as provided in the rules of procedure applicable to civil actions. If addressed to the Supreme Court, a justice thereof or a circuit judge it shall state the reasons for not making application to the district court of the district in which the applicant is held."

Petitioner can competently amend any necessary details, and reason for filing this petition here is not limited to because SCOTUS is the most recent and relevant court to this action, but also based on escalation of obstructing courts, which this court has power to overrule; additionally, federal to possibly international jurisdiction is necessary for the multi-state nature of the claim. Jurisdiction and legal authorities for SCOTUS and federal law are further detailed in previous petitions and the FAC, which are attached and by this reference made a part of this action.

"Exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers" because this is literally a life or death situation for the Petitioner who is falsely imprisoned by evil that has only proven desire to evolve and spread so long as it is not

held accountable, and that must be brought to justice. Look at the financials on all the applications to proceed *in forma pauperis* over the past decade and cross reference Petitioner's professional/educational credentials. Petitioner had always generated more income than peers prior to sabotage. The numbers do not lie. The evidence is REAL. The claims are factual. Not to discredit California's vital benefits, which should be a national thing, but they are inadequate for this and other situations. Freedom is not free.

"Adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court" without filing a new Complaint, and SCOTUS is the venue Petitioner has access to with relevant authority over allegedly corrupted courts. This is not a game, at least not to Petitioner. The odds for returning to district court are much more favorable with support from SCOTUS leveling the justice obstructed playing field.

Article III, Section II of The Constitution establishes the jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. This court has original and appellate jurisdiction because the case involves points of constitutional and federal law, and the USA is potentially a party on several levels.

The Fourteenth Amendment (1869) makes provisions of the Bill of Rights applicable to the federal and state government not limited to DUE PROCESS and **28 USC § 1651**: "(a)The Supreme Court and all courts established by Act of Congress may issue all writs necessary agreeable to the usages and principles of law."

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS INVOLVED

Justices' Job is to Preserve Our Rights

The Constitution specifically includes the habeas procedure in the **Suspension Clause** (Clause 2), located in **Article I, Section 9**. This states that "The privilege of the writ of *habeas corpus* shall not be suspended...." This clause voids any statutes of limitations.

Judiciary Act of 1789, Congress provided that both justices of the U.S. Supreme Court and judges of the federal district courts "have power to grant writs of *habeas corpus*...."

Noteworthy, *Habeas Corpus* originated in Article 39 of the Magna Carta, which held that "no Freeman shall be taken, or imprisoned....but by lawful Judgment...."

First Amendment:

"freedom of speech... of the press.... right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

Petitioning for redress from unfortunate corruption may not be pretty, and the readers may not like it, but neither does Petitioner. These assertions are truthful and every pertinent statement and allegation contained within not limited to this petition is a fundamental right.

Second Amendment:

“...the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed.”

Petitioner is arguably falsely imprisoned so long as his rights are infringed. That includes, but is not limited to firearms rights, a specific inequality necessitating a reversal of present penalization for the expunged/dismissed 422 conviction that was forced upon Petitioner by corrupt courts' criminally conspiring in attempt to render Petitioner defenseless both physically and intellectually and despite exonerating evidence as detailed in the FAC. Other aspects of this case including the fabricated mental health character framework are believed to be used to manipulate puppets violating rights daily.

Fifth Amendment:

“...nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without **DUE PROCESS** of law...”

Petitioner is still being deprived of due process no thanks to the cycle of obstruction enabling both primary criminals and justice obstructors, which has been holding Petitioner captive through poverty, denial of service attacks, stalking, grand theft of property, more than the documented traditional version of false arrest/imprisonment, robbery of options and opportunities, stalling and draining limited time, etc., all of which is depriving Petitioner of life, liberty, and property.

Sixth Amendment:

“...and to have the assistance of counsel for his defence...”

Petitioner was criminally denied real representation from public defenders when falsely both arrested and imprisoned, and has been defending against relentlessly evil attacks since before ever having any run-ins with the law. Justice is also being obstructed not only by preventing the acquisition of private counsel since before ever filing in pro per, before false arrests, but also by blocking appointment of pro bono counsel for that “defence” in the Ninth Circuit, which should have granted the requested counsel before considering dismissal for any reason let alone one that was total BS and out of order.

Without much explanation, a motion for *habeas corpus* was suggested by a newer local supervising public defender who was consulted for advice on restoring firearm rights still being disrupted despite expunged conviction, which was caused by now retired and most probably bribe-taking corrupt public defender who intentionally botched the 422 defense. That *habeas corpus* concept put into effect would be no different in Superior Court or Supreme Court, but the inevitably necessary jurisdiction and probability of success are far greater in the highest federal court, which has already acted upon discretionary ability permit Petitioner to file in accordance with rules intended for prisoners. This is the reality of the situation.

Eighth Amendment:

“Excessive bail shall not be required, nor excessive fines imposed, nor cruel and unusual punishments inflicted.”

Obstruction of justice is inflicting cruel and unusual punishment not limited to new and recent violations, all of which have resulted in more and exacerbated damages. False imprisonment to the state hospital was outrageous and worse than defamatory, included excessive bail before own recognisance (“OR”), and then there was the expensive and unnecessary impounding of Petitioner’s car upon complying with court-ordered self-surrender. Cruel and unusual has not stopped. Roots of all evil racketeers punish Petitioner for goodness and good deeds and smart things; most seemingly for nothing other than being a better human and not falling into their unfounded ranks of dumb Does with delusional senses of entitlement not based on merit, obligation, or contract.

Fourteenth Amendment:

“...nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without **DUE PROCESS** of law; nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.”

Due process is so important that it has two amendments, either of which are significant reason to issue the writ. Each mention of “obstruction,” on federal or state levels, alleges Constitutional violations of DUE PROCESS rights. Separate suspect authorities have been unable to provide logical explanations for neglect, denials, and dismissals.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE
Obstruction of Justice = False Imprisonment

SCOTUS RULES 20.4(a) STATEMENT:

Exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers because this case is like none other and adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court because all federal courts including SCOTUS have obstructed and SCOTUS is the only one the can overrule all three including itself, and it is absolutely necessary to have a hearing in real life ("IRL").

Rule 20.(4)(a) "A petition seeking a writ of habeas corpus shall comply with the requirements of 28 U.S.C. §§ 2241 and 2242, and in particular with the provision in the last paragraph of § 2242, which requires a statement of the 'reasons for not making application to the district court of the district in which the applicant is held.'To justify the granting of a writ of habeas corpus, the petitioner must show that exceptional circumstances warrant the exercise of the Court's discretionary powers, and that adequate relief cannot be obtained in any other form or from any other court...."

CASE SUMMARY:

This *Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus* was specifically addressed to Chief Justice Roberts, now to all of the Justices, still with purpose of having SCOTUS bring Petitioner to Washington, DC for no less than an in person hearing, face-to-face with the Chief Justice or all Justices *en camera*, &/or in the courtroom, so an informed and verifiable decision can be made regarding mandates for freedom from false imprisonment caused by obstruction of justice in conspiracy to a pattern of racketeering activity described in

not limited to case numbers referenced on the cover page to this action and within the corresponding complaint.

Action is best suited for this court, follows all rules, and is rationally presented with utmost faith in American values; specifically, regarding the government, our justice system, checks and balances designed as safeguards from corruption such as in the collective case, and a straight interpretation of the law not limited to what has been derived from our great constitution. The same previously accepted by this court 'prisoner rules' logic qualifying Petitioner to file one copy of documents is made a part of this petition. While information contained within highlights hardly a fraction of the racketeering activity, please keep in mind that focus is mostly on resuming the process starting with *habeas corpus* and bringing Petitioner's body and mind to SCOTUS.

RELIEF SOUGHT:

★ Writ of *Habeas Corpus*

- All expenses paid roundtrip from Los Angeles to hearing(s) @ SCOTUS
 - Comfortable accommodations; Petitioner POW > Defendant
 - w/Enough stay planned for follow up hearing(s); Possibly ADR

★ Freedom from Obstruction of Justice

★ Destroy & Seal Records of False Arrests; Not Limited to Expunged 422

- Restoration of Firearms Rights & Return of Property

- ★ Reconsider Previously Filed Petitions & Applications; Award Relief as Possible
- ★ Resume Root Case w/Discovery & ADR @ SCOTUS or/then CACD

Please extract Petitioner from prison wood hood to DC for hearing and possibly through ADR, start granting relief as previously petitioned for, which should inevitably be granted in its entirety, but is not, and since round one in district court, has not been all or nothing. ADR in Los Angeles is no longer preferable to SCOTUS because escaping proximity of most racketeers would be more secure until conflict is resolved where racketeers have been increasingly relentless with their attacks whenever legal claims get close to fruition. Nevertheless, Petitioner has IP claims to maintain, so if this goes beyond ADR, then the process should be resumed in California Central District Court.

The RICO claim was not only stated, but is absolutely indisputable based on clear and convincing evidence, so it seems insanely criminal for a judge to dismiss an entire complex case, so early on, and when **Defendants not once denied the honest accusations**; as if the most intelligent Plaintiff *in pro per* were blind, deaf, mute and dumb when faced with extremely obvious wrongdoing not limited to but one of many simple examples being the brief paper trail proving the bank stole money and tried to deceive Plaintiff into waiving rights to sue via verifiably refused indemnity agreement. Moreover; the unreleased and simplified, yet more detailed complaint annihilates any previously alleged deficiencies, which should not have counted against a *pro se* Plaintiff.

Humbly and confidently demanding justice, which entails granting this petition because it is necessary like mandatory because it is vital and the alternative is obstruction by adoption of the RICO claim based on the doctrine of conspiracy, thereby giving rise to an possibly infinite loop of new action until Petitioner inevitably wins. Petitioner should not be having what-to-do-next thoughts about citizen's arrests of federal judges if the cycle of life-endangering evil obstruction continues. Moral, intellectual, and physical authority side with Petitioner. This petition and issuance of the writ are for FREEDOM.

THE ISSUES PRESENTED:

- Obstruction of Justice Caused if not Extremely Exacerbated False Imprisonment
 - Courts Conspired to Obstruct Justice
 - Law Enforcement Conspired to Obstruct Justice
 - Filing New Case(s), w/ Arrest Warrants, Could Bring us Back Here
 - or Justices Could Inevitably Become Defendants
 - Proof that Justices Reviewed Case & Evidence is Necessary
 - *Habeaus Corpus* was Designed for Petitioners' Defense to Situations
- Not Limited to Due Process Rights are Violated Until Writs (Plural) are Issued
- Defending Respondents have not Stopped Violating Petitioner's Rights
 - Many New Issues Require Amendment or New Legal Action
 - Main Respondent IT Hacks & Attacks are Present & Evolved
 - Financial, Healthcare, Real Estate & Housing Related Fraud

■ Outrageous Counts of Same, Similar, & New Violations

- Dumb Does Think this is a Game; Casting Stalkers to Emulate Evil Inmates, etc.

FACTS NECESSARY TO UNDERSTAND ISSUES PRESENTED BY THE PETITION:

- Not all Prisons, Prisoners, Prisoners of War, Prisons of War, or Wars are the Same
- This REAL RICO Complaint is Priceless w/ Layers of Motives, & for Obstructions
- Justice is Mandatory Because Petitioner is Honest & Law is Applied Correctly
- Complaints (Circuit Appeal not Permitted = Obstructed) & Petitions = Legitimate
- Justice is Irrationally Obstructed on Every Level (Law Enforcement & Courts)
- The Laws are Straightforward; Claim Stated w/ Elements Alleged Since Complaint
- Preponderance of Clear & Convincing Evidence (Not Logged On All Dockets!?)
- Just Facts; Nothing Frivolous About the Honest Complaint for Justice
- *Res Judicata* is Irrelevant & has been Overruled as Previously Cited
- Comparison is Thief; Especially to Copycat Does etc. After 10+ Years of Sabotage
- SCOTUS has Responsibility (> Discretion) to Issue Writs for Justice

Petitioner realleges that through an obviously delusional fame-seeking footprint-leaving pattern of racketeering activity, conspiring Respondents enabling John & Jane Does who have not been dismissed by any court and are possibly pending amendment into this case or a next, have continued attacking Petitioner and are defrauding him of life, liberty, property freedom, rights, time, money, relationships, health, and business. Respondents

have directly and indirectly caused serious irreparable damages. Obstruction has worsened everything and essentially over metaphorically imprisoned Petitioner into a dystopian reality not of his own creation.

The root claim is brought pursuant to the **Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act of 1970 (RICO)**, **Title 18 USC §§ 1961 et seq.**, and more specifically under the civil law cause of action at **§ 1964(a)(c)**. Evolving in severity over at least a decade, Respondents have literally been terrorizing Petitioner with their intentful sabotage in effort to steal/control both business and personal life through incessant and illegal actions not limited to various types of fraud, espionage, defamation, grand thefts, harassment, stalking, threats, physical assault, false arrests, false imprisonment, and obstruction of justice. Not only are the prerequisite violations for RICO met, but so are all the elements for each individual cause of action, all of which were alleged in both the Complaint and the FAC. The attacks are mostly linear and responsive as if no civil action or good deed goes unpunished, which is indicative to one or few life-sentence deserving more than simply screen-watching (remotely spying on tech activity) power abusing trolls coordinating the racket.

Petitioner is an expert-witness-scientist affirmatively stating that their numbers lie in a conspicuous method that should seem statistically impossible to anyone paying attention to the complaints, social web stats, financials, applications for employment and housing,

numbers of false arrests, disruptions of medical treatment, etc. For no good reason, numerous reports have been criminally obstructed, some deleted, and all neglected by most law enforcement agencies and government entities.

Irreparable damages to Petitioner include but are not limited to the killing of relationships, loss of business, money, property, time, opportunity, innovation in several forms of production and development, causation and exacerbation of health related issues. Since the FAC, chains, and now blockchains, of racketards caused Petitioner to break his foot resulting in slow healing fractures and probably permanent nerve damage, have interfered with the healthcare, then stole his previous car before stealing his backpack with laptop, camera, wallet, etc. They disrupted numerous housing situations and ability to acquire housing, have been trying to steal his current car, are believed to have caused mechanical and electrical issues with murderous intent, which resulted in three breakdowns and one minor accident that could have easily been tragic for others. They had stalkers steal two smart-phones, interfere with deliverance of wire/electronic communications, have been hacking Petitioner's websites and hardware. Several stolen devices contained irreplaceable data including minor evidence that had not been backed up because Petitioner captures so much that it becomes difficult to keep up on all the little things, which in some instances are big, and everything is connected and adds up.

The damage to Petitioner's face regarding previously reported dermatological healthcare fraud combined with attacks on housing and hygiene and everything else have been most awful. Their scarring of the "money maker" has disrupted professional and social life and must be witnessed in person by Justices. "They" are controlling / imprisoning most probably not limited to Petitioner in his skin, then relentlessly sending stalkers trying to capture images of their evil doing with motive and narcissism towards provoking altercations with hope that it will result in the banning from establishments and vital services regardless of who their actions potentially hurt. Everyone knows that people have an aversion to unknown cameras in their faces, and the stalkerteering is extremely aggressive with unmistakably foul intent. This is gaslighting that will be reiterated on other victims, it must be stopped, and could have been prevented a decade ago. Why is Petitioner pleading to end the same torture after numerous years of exacerbated damage has been permitted by authorities and will they be held accountable for inaction?

Respondents are still trying to bleed Petitioner to death by a thousand papercuts to the back while forcing him to watch as others abduct and rape his pioneering claims where starving him into submission or buying the case based on nonexistent attorney fees proved has to be impossible. This has evolved far beyond ever so present tech disrupts as they literally bother Petitioner in real life all day, often with stalkers filming the stalkers and Petitioner from a distance or trying to catch him in a pickle. Their actions

are an insane reflection of a delusional take on obsolete beliefs crossed with false justification using modernity against someone much more intelligent and tech savvy.

The human trafficking cause of action is real. They have been interfering with family, friends, and despicably manipulating romantic relationships over the years; not only by targeting any female Petitioner cares about, but also by trying to impose those they think they can use for control, the latter of which turns them sour when there is no interest from Petitioner seeing through the schemes of self-serving wannabe debt collecting pimp stalkertards. They are suspected to mask intentions in effort to obtain access where they can not control Petitioner directly and thereby weaponizing sex, trafficking humans, and pretentiously crying wolf etc. for the purpose of pinning people in opposition. They target whoever Petitioner has feelings for, try to make them one-sided, then entangle lives with psychological warfare based on proximity and semiotic triggers.

These patterns are geared towards having women play damsels in distress, which is how they lured both Petitioner and police into the false arrest while at home in the Hollywood Hills. Some females, and men using females, have repeatedly attempted to use their intentionally agitating criminal stalking as bait in attempt to falsely justify starting a fight with intent of having their perception of an unfairly matched male or authority attack Petitioner, and then they fabricate things upon failure to elicit the desired reaction.

The proximity war has colluded with most places Petitioner frequents and several establishments on his daily path, all of which should be amended into the complaint or pleaded elsewhere because some of their involvement has been so extreme that relevant punitive relief seems necessary in order to end the cycle of terror. The collective scheming is more serious than countless instances of attempted murder with intent for post-mortem exploitation, and they keep trying to set themselves up for control of technology such as Petitioner's web server or by trying to import new fake relationships whether that be compassionate, romantic, or professional. It is not ok.

Similarly to peers, Petitioner would have been married with kids years ago; nearly six years ago had things gone close to as planned. It could be argued that life is being deprived of future offspring. They also use the children of worthless violators as irrational excuses to obstruct justice, and relationship status to obstruct income. Unfounded religious framework seems to be a go-to tactic for accomplishing this; to the point of instilling a man of science with faith in "justice." Bad karma for what? It is not the duty of free will to subordinate to interposed narratives. Religious birth rank entitlements are supposed to be based on inheritance, and by no faith justify affliction of Petitioner. Their greed and envy are sins not excuses.

Respondents/Does have received money not only through unfair competition, but also from abuse of power theft exhibited in but not limited to a very easy to follow paper trail

of cash being stolen directly from the Petitioner's illegally "terminated" bank account. Interstate commerce over wire called and asked where that stolen money is physically located right now? Money that still has not been returned, which directly resulted in damaged credit that was excellent at the time and remains as another undeniable proof of a downward trending non-pre-existing condition rooted in Respondent misbehavior leading to life-endangering present-day problems; specifically, but not limited to the resulting poor credit discretionarily being used by conspiring leasing companies etc. in order to deny housing, and probably for potential employers to deny work for which Petitioner is more than qualified.

Like thousands of blocked job applications, trying to find an attorney to take on the case, etc., the sabotaged hunt for housing has wasted tremendous amounts of prioritized time. They have also invested money and other resources into illegal competition connected to the enterprise and relevant to intellectual property claims, which has caused ridiculous problems for Petitioner and very probably for more and more people the longer the charade continues; because there will be justice.

Injury is of a physical, intellectual, social and commercial nature. The enterprise affects interstate commerce in that both sides conduct business locally to nationally to internationally. Causation of damages, along with all aspects of the complaint, is proven by indisputable evidence. Relief sought is justified and justifiable, which is detailed in

the new unfiled RICO Complaint or possible amendment if granted this writ. Information includes copycat competitor financials proving real potential monetary value of Petitioner's innovative business had it not been criminally sabotaged. The injuries were proximately caused and would not have occurred but for the activity of the enterprise first noticed at Facebook, which is where the nexus of affairs connecting the conspiracy and pattern of racketeering activity appears to have emerged and spread to neighboring Respondents. Regardless of where and when attacks technically began, Facebook was the first recognizable trigger pulling enabler and main connection between Petitioner's various networks, all of which have been affected.

There are specific Does who are obvious common denominator roots of evil, but Petitioner still hopes for a civil settlement with the corporations and the freedom of those Does on the line is leverage for give up all the loot or go to prison. Respondents have only been able to commit many or most if not all offenses solely by virtue of their positions in the enterprise and connections to other Respondent corporations, inclusive to Does pending possible amendments as new defendants, where power is still being criminally abused. This case has been frozen at SCOTUS no thanks to disruption of DUE PROCESS as if both Respondents as if obstructors think Petitioner is going to disappear where corrupt court actors have been trying to trap and cheat Petitioner out of his days in court through deceptive tactics that have probably plagued less intelligent *pro se* litigants for too long; beyond time to move forward.

Further Satisfying Rule 20:

Most if not all previous federal and state judges appear not only to have been individually cast like bad actors partially based on what is referred to as "name hacks" corresponding to evidence linked from the FAC, but also to act like a jury of one-sided attorneys protecting Defendants with stall tactics and illegal dismissals. Selection is supposed to be random, and there are too many suspicious coincidences to be real, then there is the fact that case analysts have been more obviously cast with poor judgment. Please at least recuse the following judges if not preferably arresting and disbaring all of them, issue no less than the writ, hopefully mandates for some relief, discovery and ADR @ SCOTUS or CACD. Seriously; do not be cowards about how they deserve “off with their heads” karma. Seriously admit, more than consider admitting, Petitioner to the SCOTUS bar while raising the bar to reset precedence by making bad examples of corrupt actors.

Starting With Federal Judges Who Violated Petitioner's Rights:

- Edward Leavy, Senior Circuit Judge @ 9th Circuit
- Jay Scott Bybee, Senior Circuit Judge @ 9th Circuit
- Andrew David Hurwitz, Circuit Judge @ 9th Circuit
- Michael Walter Fitzgerald, District Judge @ Central District
- Percy Anderson, District Judge @ Central District
- Paul Lewis Abrams, Chief Magistrate Judge @ Central District

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION
Human Rights + The Law of Our Land & Beyond

One of the most valid reasons for *habeas corpus* is when prisoners might be held incommunicado. Producing bodies of both Petitioner and Justices is most relevant because not everything filed was docketed; including but not limited to motions, receipt of evidence filed and lodged, some under seal, and unanswered Applications to both Circuit and Chief Justices. Additional disruption of communications is part of the complaint. There are too many reasons to question both electronic and paper communication between Petitioner, law enforcers, judges, and justices.

WHY THE WRIT(s) SHOULD ISSUE:

- ★ This is the LAW & it is JUST
- ★ This is the TRUTH; Honest Like Abe; Never Frivolous
- ★ Petitioner is Literally a Body of Evidence, Which Justice Must See
- ★ Communications Disrupted; Case Analysts are not Accurate nor Trustworthy
- ★ No Reason to Believe Justices Reviewed Everything Filed (Not All Docketed)
- ★ A Purpose of the RICO Act Permits a Civilian to End a Majority Mob of Criminals
- ★ Exceptional Circumstances Require Extraordinary Necessary Over Discretionary
- ★ Protect & Save Others from Reiterations of Racketeering / Modern Gaslighting
- ★ Save Precious Time.... Opposed to Filing New Complaint
- ★ Set Good Example of Peaceful Conflict Resolution

The "Great Writ" of *habeas corpus* is a fundamental right in The Constitution that protects against unlawful and indefinite imprisonment. Translated from Latin it means "show me the body." *Habeas corpus* has historically been an important instrument to safeguard individual freedom against arbitrary executive power. This case is history in the making where modern false imprisonment demands a solution, which is proposed in alignment with logical interpretation of The Constitution, relevant laws, and court rules.

Outrageous counts of not limited to obstruction of justice have significantly worsened the situation thereby imprisoning Petitioner into a horrific ordeal where Defendants seem to have been warranted unlimited daily attempts to both entrap and cover up tracks while Petitioner is awaiting trial as a Plaintiff. The goonish Hollywood mob-tards literally go out of their way to make it feel like prison by casting stalkers to creep in orange wardrobe, etc. One of the trap shelters Petitioner briefly lived at while SCOTUS previously failed him was modeled like a federal prison with cubicles, crazies, meth heads, shanks, and their puppet strings pulled by Defendants probably tapped into creepy surveillance cameras. Details of each chapter are so extraordinary that they would take this petition way off track. The entire situation only worsened after Certiorari and Extraordinary Writs were not issued; much worse and neither worth reliving nor publicizing more here and now; nevertheless, a cease, desist and pre-filing settlement offer identifying most of the recently significant violations was included in the lodge and is now attached. Neither Petitioner nor evidence lie. Everything is verifiable.

"Show Me The Body"

Petitioner is a living body of evidence, which must be exhibited in order to make a fair and impartial judgment. Real, live, body, mind, unfiltered, scars, and eyes do not lie, nor does any written statement made by Petitioner who is more than competent and confident to speak for himself in any courtroom. The fact that Petitioner has not seen a federal judge or justice face-to-face, having gone through three levels of federal courts and numerous judges over the past decade, should speak volumes of obstruction. You need Petitioner to "show [you] the body" and then have some explaining to do because if you can not explain something to an enlightened man, then it is wrong period; specifically, any adverse decision resulting in life disrupting damages. "We not I" need to resolve the issue of whether Justices or any judge have actually seen anything and everything filed and lodged, which can only be trusted in person. Most of that suspicion is regarding whether or not Justices, more so than judges, have reviewed the case because the snail mail filing process has been sketchy with fewer avenues for direct communication. "Show me the body" of an honorable Justice. Real eyes, realize, real lies, so look Petitioner in the eyes and have an honest conversation in real life. One can not deny that the time Petitioner put into everything *in pro per* merits such a request.

That is technically a guaranteed fundamental right based on *habeas corpus* and the Suspension Clause whereas discretion in judgment would be more appropriately

reserved for other matters such as "show me the bod[ies]" of all suspected death fakers; like dig up the graves and present the corpses, not habeas, because there are a ridiculous amount of fakers, suspected fools conspiring with information technology defendants alleged to be abusing power over for human trafficking purposes including but not limited to separating and manipulating people into thinking they can get away with never crossing human trafficked paths; similar to the movie *Adjustment Bureau*, which I am confident you will enjoy if you have not already seen it, that movie displays targets as GPS stalked dots on agent tablets, which is probably not too far off from how Petitioner was being tracked as suspected and reported before the movie was released. Same goes for suspected name hack *Edward Snowden* (watch movie despite possible familiarity with the case) who is possibly government "actor" chosen to come forward with public facing reports of device related espionage etc. in the news prior to the RICO claim not getting the attention it deserved at the time.

"Prisoner" | Pris-on-er

Noun: Prisoner; Plural Noun: Prisoners

(1)(a) a person legally held in prison as a punishment for crimes they have committed or (b) while awaiting trial; (2) a person captured and kept confined by an enemy, opponent, or criminal; (3) a person who is or feels confined or trapped by a situation or set of circumstances; *Similar: convict, inmate, trusty, jailbird, con, lifer, yardbird, prisoner of war, POW, hostage, captive, detainee, internee*

Types of Prisons:

Brick & Mortar, House Arrest, Poverty, Skin, Health / Body, Organizations / Control Systems, School, Religion, Cyber Jail, POW, etc.

(All Relevant to Petitioner's Oppression / Case)

Prisoner of War / Types of War:

World War, Nuclear War, Drug War, Business War, Info War, Tech War, Love is a Battlefield War, Legal War, Class War, Race War, Religious War, Civil War, Revolutionary War *(Relevant to Petitioner's Oppression / Case)*

Not all wars are fought the same, nor do people fight the same, and there are no rules when fighting for survival on the defense; certainly not for coward bullies who started this fight and have no other defense than to obstruct justice, most probably with bribes, and the need to lie about pleading technicalities and then attempting to attack more than mental health with a character frame. Their tactics would warrant self-defensive death penalties if not for faith in inevitable civil justice. What makes any of the puppet string pulling cowards or corrupt judges more above the law than an indictable former US President or the found to be corrupt and now imprisoned Sheriff who was running the show back in 2014 when Petitioner was originally falsely arrested and nonexistent mental health issues completely fabricated by dumb doctors solely based on fabricated Sheriff report with motive only for Sheriffs who have personal connections to known

Defendants Does? If one person can change the world for the better, is their freedom not worth more than a criminal racket?

These petty asshats and their wannabe exploitative grandeur-fame-seeking footprint-leaving incompetence have really been playing on the prison theme in more than the form of previously reported fashion-hacks statements. Stalkers had changed their obviously coordinated colors from mostly red and blue to orange representing the standard color of prison uniforms around the time this was originally written. This has mostly reverted back to the red and blue as of recent, but there have been very obvious targeted prison orange wearing stalkers trying to cause problems on a regular basis. Someone is very obviously pulling puppet strings and they could be easily identified if the authorities did their job and stopped obstructing justice on any level.

The orange is not the new black fashion statement was heavy for about a month surrounding a racketeered attempt to force Petitioner into an orange prison dump looking building. Back on the alleged housing fraud/prison; “they,” consisting of roots of evil pulling strings of caseworkers and leasing companies, kept trying to force Petitioner into intentionally and extremely insecure housing for an entire year, but their grand scheme failed to incarcerate Petitioner into another trap house, so they kept messing with his car for tracking, immobilization, isolation, confinement; prison. Literally every place petitioner has lived since graduating college has been set up like a prison. After

succeeding to defraud Petitioner of an emergency housing voucher, despite filing complaints with housing authorities and obstructed reports to LAPD, then getting called out on social media by Petitioner about the color thing, they reverted back to mostly stalking with brands in the camera stalker frames, and presently it is mix of everything from brands, to colors, to negative messages. Cyclical patterns of racketeering are most evident and often responsive as if directed by envious trolls who seem to be able to make it all stop, which has been noticed temporarily following several reports to police.

Fools think they can get rid of Petitioner by prison or murder, loot the corpse, delete the evidence, raid the storage, delete backups, etc., but it is doubtful they could censor all the vultures. Daily camera stalker content is worthless in Petitioner's freedom, lifetime, or future bloodline. They are not trying to take normal paparazzi pictures. This is far more sinister and gaslit with intent of attempted false imprisonment, murder, or "86" commonly known as a ban from an establishment but actually rooted in the shooting down of an enemy fighter jet in war like "187" is the code for murder. Their actions are premeditated, coordinated, and in conspiracy tort not a theory that keeps spreading and must be stopped. Cut them off at the heads of enabling corporations and give Petitioner the loot karma. This is the reality of the situation. No exaggeration, no lies, no discrepancies, no mental health issues; at least not on Petitioner's side. Some of the collective "they" are truly sick in the head evil trying to villainize Petitioner in an attempt to force a framework for false entitlements, but it is not their narrative and this is truth.

CONCLUSION

Due Process & Justice For All

This case should have been a slam dunk in District Court; nevertheless, the proposed solution can be a grand slam at SCOTUS that brings the petitions on first, second, and third back home is wherever Petitioner's heart is for the win. Petitioner is most confident in his headstrong to take on everyone because the claims are entirely factual.

Petitioner previously requested to be treated like a prisoner in regards to rules for not filing multiple copies, based on not limited to qualifying for *en forma pauperis* status as a result of Defendant damages, and that was granted; therefore, it is completely reasonable to expect the same approval upon consideration of qualifying to file this petition. So, thanks for considering Petitioner like a prisoner, but more importantly for treating him like an innocent American and to be released from this nightmare starting with issuing the writ for a well deserved, comfortable, all expense paid round trip to Washington, DC.

You may have heard "Gimme The Loot" by Notorious BIG, which Petitioner often quotes on social media, and Petitioner likes to think the late Notorious RBG was either on RR side, or could have been persuaded by this petition to "Gi[ve RR] The Loot" as defined below, detailed in previous filings, and both further elaborated upon and justifiably quantified in a new more experienced 100+ pages of the *pro se* RICO complaint to be filed or amended pending decision on this petition.

“Loot” | Noun

Goods, especially private property, taken from an enemy in war.

aka **Relief** as specified, but summarily as:

rise.com + The Mountain + \$100,000,000,000

- \$121B if new complaint is filed

If this is somehow mandated now, or agreed upon in ADR, then Petitioner will not demand numerous arrests, but only if the racket ceases, desists, and complies. However, if this goes through trial, then Petitioner will inevitably win and take heavier action against individual perpetrators. There is nothing frivolous or malicious about Petitioner’s side of the collective case. What you are reading is thoughtful and meticulously planned to be mighty and forceful, yet totally defensive, deterring, and extraordinarily civil.

Granting this petition is allegedly discretionary, but it must inevitably be reviewed directly by no less than Chief Justice Roberts who would otherwise be subpoenaed to at least confirm receipts, and could hypothetically be held accountable for adopting the obstruction of justice in conspiracy to and as a prerequisite element of RICO; therefore, producing the body is inevitably mandatory as if it were not already designed as such. The new complaint covering recent violations will soon be ready for filing in district court, or can be exhibited as is or amended at SCOTUS. Two more petitions

simultaneously filed in state also provide more information regarding recent efforts and were attached to the denied exhibit, can be found on Petitioner's website, and will be brought to hearing; superior court petition was obstructed and the other was recently escalated to the Governor's office. Please issue this writ while also using any discretionary power to fulfill as many requests for relief as possible, all of which are reasonable and relevant to vital justice. These obstructions are breaches of national security like "injustice anywhere is [truly] a threat to justice everywhere."

The honor of your acceptance will be treated with nothing less than gratitude and utmost respect. Please reward this diligence with due process, as is our right, start reconsidering previous petitions, the FAC, exhibits, everything on the dockets (or linked) and lodged, answer or be prepared to the questions, let Petitioner now if you have any of your own, and do your best to immediately set wheels up in motion for a long overdue rise to success.

In conclusion, and by law, this petition for a writ of *habeas corpus* must be GRANTED.

Respectfully,
/s/ RUSSELL ROPE 03/01/2024
justice@russellrope.com
Petitioner In Pro Per
+1 (310) 663-7655